3. Linear least-square regression

3.1. Framework

Intuition

Goal: find the "best" hyperplane going through our training data



Least-square framework

- ▶ reminders: regression $\Rightarrow \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$
- square loss $\ell(y, y') = (y y')^2$
- ▶ we know that the optimal predictor is $f^*(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid X = x]$
- **•** Notation: $\varphi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ some feature function
- ERM on the class of functions

$$f_{ heta}(x) = arphi(x)^{ op} heta = \sum_{j=1}^{d} arphi(x)_j heta_j \,,$$

with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- **Remark:** linear in θ , not necessarily in x!
- Overall: minimize

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}(heta) \coloneqq rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - arphi(X_i)^ op heta)^2$$
 .

Random design

- mathematically, more interesting to see (x_i, y_i) as random variables
- ▶ → we write (X_i, Y_i) instead of (x_i, y_i)

Key assumption: (X_i, Y_i) are independent, identically-distributed (i.i.d.) copies of (X, Y).

- from now on, we will work in this framework
- **Remark:** *distribution shift* is a current research topic⁴
- Key difference:

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(Y_i, f(X_i))$$

is a random variable

⁴Sugiyama, Kawanabe, *Machine learning in non-stationary environments: Introduction to covariate shift adaptation*, MIT Pres, 2012

Example 1: linear regression

- **D** Question: what is φ ? and why is it useful?
- univariate inputs: $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$
- \blacktriangleright take d = 2
- **Why?** allowing an *intercept*: $\varphi(x) = (1, x)^{\top}$ and

$$\Phi = egin{pmatrix} 1 & X_1 \ 1 & X_2 \ dots & dots \ 1 & dots \ 1 & dots \ \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 2: polynomial regression

- \blacktriangleright consider again univariate inputs: $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$
- take d = p + 1, with p maximal degree
- set $\varphi(x) = (1, x, x^2, \dots, x^p)^\top$, and

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_1 & X_1^2 & \cdots & X_1^p \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 1 & X_n & X_n^2 & \cdots & X_n^p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+1)}$$

true strength of the linear model: non-linear transformations of the entries

Matrix notation

- ▶ let $Y := (Y_1, ..., Y_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the response vector
- ▶ let $\Phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ the matrix of inputs
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ row } i \text{ of } \Phi = \varphi(X_i)^\top$
- with these notation,

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}(heta) = rac{1}{n} \left\| oldsymbol{Y} - \Phi heta
ight\|^2 \, .$$

Reminder:

$$\|u\|^2 = \langle u, u \rangle = u^\top u = \sum_{j=1}^d u_j^2$$

denotes the Euclidean norm

3.2. Ordinary least-squares

Ordinary Least Squares

Reminder: we want to minimize

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}(heta) = rac{1}{n} \left\| Y - \Phi heta
ight\|^2$$
 .

now we have to work a bit because crit is a function of d variables:



Calculus aparte

• Reminder: let $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^M$, then the *gradient* of f is defined as

$$\nabla f = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_N} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_N} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial x_N} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$$

Example: when f is real-valued (M = 1), ∇f is a vector, thus a column

Calculus aparte, ctd.

▶ let us consider first the function f : x → Ax, with x ∈ ℝ^N and A ∈ ℝ^{M×N} a fixed matrix
 ▶ let j ∈ {1,..., M}, then we know that

$$(Ax)_j = A_{j,1}x_1 + A_{j,2}x_2 + \cdots + A_{j,N}x_N$$

▶ let $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$, then

$$rac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(A x
ight)_j = A_{j,i} \, .$$

we deduce from this computation that

$$\forall A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}, \qquad \nabla(Ax) = A^{\top}$$

Calculus aparte, ctd.

▶ more complicated: let $B \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and define $f : x \mapsto x^{\top} Bx$ ▶ set $1 \in \{1, ..., N\}$, then

$$(Bx)_j = B_{j,1}x_1 + B_{j,2}x_2 + \cdots + B_{j,N}x_N$$

we deduce that

$$x^{ op}Bx = \sum_{j,k=1}^n B_{j,k} x_j x_k$$

therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x^\top B x) = \sum_{j=1}^n (B_{i,j} + B_{j,i}) x_j \,.$$

▶ in a concise form:

 $\forall B \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}, \qquad \nabla(x^{\top}Bx) = (B + B^{\top})x$

Closed-form solution (i)

- $\blacktriangleright~\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is a convex smooth function \Rightarrow look at critical point
- back to the definition:

$$egin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{R}}(heta) &= rac{1}{n} \left\| Y - \Phi heta
ight\|^2 \ &= rac{1}{n} \left(\left\| Y
ight\|^2 - 2 heta^ op \Phi^ op Y + heta^ op \Phi^ op \Phi
ight) \end{aligned}$$

from the previous slides, we deduce

$$abla \hat{\mathcal{R}}(heta) = rac{2}{n} \left(\Phi^{ op} \Phi heta - \Phi^{ op} Y
ight)$$

setting to zero yields the normal equations:

$$\Phi^{\top}\Phi\hat{\theta}=\Phi^{\top}Y.$$

Closed-form solution (ii)

Proposition: Assume that Φ has full column rank. Then the unique minimizer of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is given by

$$\hat{ heta} = (\Phi^ op \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^ op Y$$
 .

- when it exists, we will refer to $\hat{\theta}$ as the *ordinary least squares* (OLS) solution
- **Remark (i):** Φ full column rank $\Leftrightarrow \Phi^{\top} \Phi$ positive-definite (in particular, invertible)
- **Remark (ii):** if $\varphi = id$, recover the well-know formula:

$$\hat{\theta} = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}Y$$
.

Remark (iii): $\Phi \hat{\theta}$ (vector of predictions) = orthogonal projection of Y onto Im (Φ)

Numerical resolution, invertible case

- inverting matrices is hard (costly + unstable)
- **What is done in practice:** *QR* factorization: write

 $\Phi = QR$

with $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ such that $Q^{\top}Q = I$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ upper triangular

► fast, and more stable

then

$$\Phi^{\top}\Phi = R^{\top}Q^{\top}QR = R^{\top}R$$

which means

$$\left(\Phi^{ op} \Phi
ight) \hat{ heta} = \Phi^{ op} Y$$

if, and only if,

$$R^{\top}R\hat{\theta} = R^{\top}Q^{\top}Y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad R\hat{\theta} = Q^{\top}Y$$

last step = triangular linear system (easy)

Numerical resolution, non-invertible case

Definition-Theorem (singular value decomposition): Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$. Then there exist (i) $U \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M}$ orthogonal, (ii) $V \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ orthogonal, and (iii) $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ diagonal with positive entries such that

$$\mathsf{A} = U \mathsf{\Sigma} V^ op$$
 .

The matrix Σ is unique up to ordering of its diagonal elements.

- we call $\sigma_i := \Sigma_{ii}$ the singular values of A
- they are the square roots of the eigenvalues of $A^{\top}A$
- only rank (A) of them are non-zero
- ▶ the columns of U (resp. V) are the eigenvectors of AA^{\top} (resp. $A^{\top}A$)

Generalized inverse

pseudo-inverse of a diagonal matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} d_1^{\dagger} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & d_p^{\dagger} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x^{\dagger} = x^{-1}$ is $x \neq 0$ and 0 otherwise

▶ the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse of *M* is then defined as

$$M^\dagger = V \Sigma^\dagger U^ op$$
 .

We always have $M^{\dagger}MM^{\dagger} = M^{\dagger}$ and $MM^{\dagger}M = M$.