



ALGORITHMS IN AI & DATA SCIENCE 1 (AKIDS 1)

# Numerical Optimization Prof. Dr. Goran Glavaš

25.1.2024

#### Content

- Calculus Basics
- Gradient-Based Optimization
  - Newton Method
  - Gradient Descent
- Search-Based Optimization
  - Genetic Algorithm

### **Recap:** Discrete Constrained Optimization

#### **Discrete Constrained Optimization Problems**

In **discrete constrained optimization**, we search for an **optimal state** in large space of possible states. Each state X can be seen as consisting of n variables  $X = x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ , each with a corresponding domain  $D_1, D_2, ..., D_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  (whole numbers). The optimal state is the one that maximizes/minimizes the **objective function**  $f: D_1 \times \cdots \times D_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Finally, the constraints  $C_1, ..., C_m$ , with  $C_i \subseteq D_1, D_2, ..., D_n$  define the subsets of the state space that encompass valid solutions to the problem

- Optimal state (or the state with the best *f* that was found) is the solution
- No path between start and goal state often there isn't a clear start state
- We're not making moves like in classic SSS problems, just searching for the best possible solution over a very large space of candidate solutions

• In **numerical optimization**, instead of a space of discrete states, we're optimizing (minimizing or maximizing) some **real-valued function** 

Numerical Optimization

Numerical optimization refers to optimizing real-valued functions  $f(\mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . This means finding values  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_n$  for which f obtains the **minimal** or **maximal value**. The input variables  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_n$  may be subject to constraints (e.g., linear inequality constraints such as  $\mathbf{x}_i \ge m$  or non-linear constraints such as  $\mathbf{x}_i^2 - \mathbf{x}_j^2 < m$ ) in which case we are dealing with **constrained numerical optimization**.

# Numerical Optimization

- Some assumptions
- We will talk about unconstrained optimization
  - No constraints on the input variables x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>n</sub>
  - For gradient-based methods
    - The function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is **differentiable** on the whole input domain  $\mathbf{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$
    - Q: What does it mean for a function to be differentiable?
    - In some cases (e.g., for the Newton method) the function f(x) will have to be doubly differentiable (two times differentiable)

Differentiable functions

A function f(x) or (of one variable x) is **differentiable** if its **derivative** f'(x) exists in every point of the domain  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  of the input variable (or parameter) x. A function of multiple parameters  $f(\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_n)$  is **differentiable** if its **gradient**  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f$  – a vector of **partial derivatives**  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}, ..., \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}_n} \end{bmatrix}$  – exists for every point on the input domain  $\mathbf{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . If function is differentiable, then it also **continuous**. Most continuous functions used in AI are differentiable.

Recap: how to compute a derivative of a function <sup>(2)</sup>

$$f'(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{df}{dx} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

# Optimum of a Differentiable Function

- For an infinitesimal change in x, dx, the corresponding infinitesimal change in f(x), dy, is such that the slope of the tangential in any point x corresponds to dy/dx = f'(x)
- In the turning point of the function, the function has a (possibly local) optimum, and the tangential is horizontal (slope is 0)
- So, solving f'(x) = 0 gives us the turning point(s) of f and its optimum
  - **Q:** How do we tell if its a minimum or maximum?



https://math.fel.cvut.cz/mt/txtc/1/txe3ca1b.htm

# Optimum of a Differentiable Function

- So, solving f'(x) = 0 gives us the turning point(s) of f and its optimum
- Algebraic conditions for min/max:
  - MIN: derivative sign changes from negative to positive
  - MAX: derivative sign changes from positive to negative
  - Change of derivative  $\rightarrow$  second derivative f''(x)
- So, the function f(x) has a minimum in a if f''(a) > 0 and a maximum if f''(a) < 0</li>



Image from: https://www.themathpage.com/aCalc/max.htm

### Differentiation & Optima: Example

- $f(x) = 2x^3 9x^2 + 12x 3$
- $f'(x) = 6x^2 18x + 12$
- f''(x) = 12x 18

$$f'(x) = 0, \ x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0,$$
  
(x - 1) \* (x - 2) = 0  
$$x^{(1)} = 1, \ x^{(2)} = 2$$

 $f''(x^{(1)}) = 12 - 18 = -6 < 0$ , so in  $x^{(1)}$ , maximum  $f''(x^{(2)}) = 24 - 18 = +6 > 0$ , so in  $x^{(2)}$ , minimum



Plot generated via https://www.geogebra.org/graphing

#### Content

- Calculus Basics
- Gradient-Based Optimization
  - Newton Method
  - Gradient Descent
- Search-Based Optimization
  - Genetic Algorithm

- Newton's method is an iterative method for finding the root of a function f(x), that is, where f(x) = 0
  - Note: this is different then finding the optimum, where we solve f'(x) = 0
- We start from some initial value x<sup>(0)</sup> for which the function value, f(x<sup>(0)</sup>), is "not too far" from 0
- Then we **iteratively update x** as follows:

 $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) / f'(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ 

• Q: why does this work? Why do we **converge** to x for which f(x) = 0?

### Newton's Method

• We iteratively update x as follows:

 $x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - f(x^{(k)}) / f'(x^{(k)})$ 

- Q: why does this work? Why do we converge to x for which f(x) = 0?
- We have four possibilities:
  1. f(x) > 0 and f'(x) > 0 → x gets smaller
  2. f(x) < 0 and f'(x) > 0 → x gets larger
  3. f(x) > 0 and f'(x) < 0 → x gets larger</li>
  4. f(x) < 0 and f'(x) < 0 → x gets smaller</li>



# Newton's Method: Example

 $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) / f'(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ 

- $f(x) = 2x^3 9x^2 + 12x 3$
- $f'(x) = 6x^2 18x + 12$
- For example,  $x^{(0)} = -1$

- $f(x^{(0)}) = -2 9 12 3 = -24$
- $f'(x^{(0)}) = 6 + 18 + 12 = +36$
- $x^{(1)} = -1 (-24 / +36) = -1 + 2/3 = -1/3$



- The closer we are to f(x) = 0, the smaller the update to x because f(x) is in the nominator of update rule and it's getting smaller (in absolute)
  - The update is 0 (convergence) when f(x) = 0 <sup>(C)</sup>

- Newton's method finds x for which f(x) = 0
- But we're looking for an optimum of f, not its root we're looking for x such that f'(x) = 0
- So we need to apply Newton's method to f'(x) (not f) in order to find the optimum of f

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - f'(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) / f''(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$$

• But for this (1) *f* has to be doubly differentiable and (2) we must know it's first derivative *f*' (w.r.t. all parameters) in a closed form

#### Content

- Calculus Basics
- Gradient-Based Optimization
  - Newton Method
  - Gradient Descent
- Search-Based Optimization
  - Genetic Algorithm

- **Gradient descent** is a method that moves the parameter values in the direction **opposite** of the function's gradient in the current point
  - This is guaranteed to lead to a minimum only for convex functions\*
- Gradient ascent moves the parameter values in the direction of the function's gradient in the current point
  - Used to find a maximum of a function
  - Guaranteed to find it only for concave functions



### **Convex Functions**

**Convex function** is a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  whose domain is a **convex set** and for all  $x_1, x_2$  in its domain, and all  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ , the following inequality holds true:  $f(\lambda^* x_1 + (1-\lambda)^* x_2) \leq \lambda^* f(x_1) + (1-\lambda)^* f(x_2)$ 

- **Convex set**, simplified, means a "contiguous" function domain
- A convex function has a unique minimum



**Convex function** 

#### **Concave Functions**

**Concave function** is a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  whose domain is a **convex set** and for all  $x_1, x_2$  in its domain, and all  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ , the following inequality holds true:

 $f(\lambda^* x_1 + (1-\lambda)^* x_2) \geq \lambda^* f(x_1) + (1-\lambda)^* f(x_2)$ 

- **Convex set** basically means a *"*contiguous" function domain
- A concave function has a unique maximum



**Convex function** 

Gradient descent

**Gradient descent** (sometimes also called **steepest descent**) is an iterative algorithm for (continuous) optimization that finds a **minimum** of a **convex** (single) **differentiable function**.

In each iteration GD moves the values of variables (vector x = [x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, ..., x<sub>n</sub>])
 opposite to the gradient in the current point

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \eta^* \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$$

- x<sup>(k)</sup> values of the input variables (arguments, parameters) in step k
- ∇<sub>x</sub> f(x) value of the gradient (if more than one parameter, then also vector) of the function f in the point x
- η step size (in ML called learning rate), defines how much to move the parameters in the direction opposite of the gradient

### Gradient Descent – Properties

- Gradient descent:  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} \eta^* \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$
- Q<sub>1</sub>: where to start? Which point to set as initial **x**<sup>(0)</sup>?
- Q<sub>2</sub>: when does this iterative computation stop (does it at stop at all)?
- Q<sub>3</sub>: assuming it stops, will we have found the minimum of *f*?
  - What does it depend on?

In principle, unless we know something more about the function *f*, we would randomly choose an initial point x<sup>(0)</sup>

#### Convergence

- Natural ending of the GD, when the next point, x<sup>(k+1)</sup>, is the same as the previous, x<sup>(k)</sup>
- Given the update formula, this is only possible if the gradient is zero: ∇f(x<sup>(k)</sup>) = 0
- This means we have found a minimum if *f* is convex, gradient is 0 only in the minimum



### Gradient Descent – Convergence

• Gradient Descent:  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \eta^* \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ 

- Convergence
  - Whether GD converges depends also on the value of the step size η
  - Q: What values for η could lead to divergence (never converging)?



# Gradient Descent – Convergence

- Gradient Descent:  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} \eta^* \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$
- Convergence
  - Whether GD converges depends also on the value of the step size η
  - If η is too large, gradient descent will diverge
  - If η is too small, gradient descent may not converge in reasonable time (moving too slowly to the minimum)
  - A good step size is usually determined empirically



 Let's find the minimum of a singleparameter square function:
 f(x) = 0.2(x-4)<sup>2</sup> + 2

• Of course, in this case, we can easily find the solution **analytically** 

$$f'(x) = 0.4 * (x - 4) = 0 \rightarrow x = 4, f(4) = 2$$

• We'd find the same value if we applied GD iteratively, with a suitable step size



### Gradient Descent – Example

• Let's find the minimum of a single-parameter square function:

$$f(x) = 0.2(x-4)^2 + 2$$
$$f'(x) = 0.4 * (x - 4)$$

- **GD**: let's start with  $x^{(0)} = -1$  and  $\eta = 0.5$ 
  - $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = -1 0.5 * 0.4 * (-1 4) = \mathbf{0}$
  - $\mathbf{x}^{(2)} = 0 0.5 * 0.4 * (0 4) = 0.8$
  - $\mathbf{x}^{(3)} = 0.8 0.5 * 0.4 * (0.8 4) = 1.44$

...

- Try with  $\eta = 3$ . What happens?
- Try with  $\eta = 6$ . What happens?
- Try to start in another point, say  $x^{(0)} = 9$



# Gradient Descent – Non-Convex Optimization

- If the function is non-convex, gradient descent will not necessarily find a global minimum
- There are other, local minimums that it can end up in
- Gradient ("steepest") descent is guaranteed to end up in the closest local minimum
  - Closest to the starting point
  - Assuming a small enough step size
- Where we end up depends on the start



# Gradient Descent – Non-Convex Optimization

- Most complex functions that we optimize in practice are non-convex
- GD may not find the global minimum, but maybe the **local minimum** it finds is good enough
- Improvement strategies
  - 1. Multiple GD runs (from different initial points)
    - Take the smallest of the local optima
    - Computationally expensive (multiple optimizations)
  - 2. Dynamic (adaptable) step size
    - Not the same step size throughout the optimization
    - Not necessarily the same step size for all parameters
    - Several different adaptable GD variants
      - AdaGrad, RMSProp, Adam



Gradient descent

**Gradient ascent** (sometimes also called **steepest ascent**) is an iterative algorithm for (continuous) optimization that finds a **maximum** of a (single) **differentiable concave function**.

• In each iteration GD moves the values of input variables (vector  $x = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ ) in the direction of the gradient in the current point

 $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \eta * \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ 

- In practice, gradient ascent is rarely used (especially in AI)
  - In machine learning we commonly compute error/loss functions (distance between predictions and correct labels) which we minimize (so GD, not GA)
  - Maximizing a function *f* is equivalent to minimizing -*f*

#### Content

- Calculus Basics
- Gradient-Based Optimization
  - Newton Method
  - Gradient Descent
- Search-Based Optimization
  - Genetic Algorithm for Numeric Optimization

# Search-Based Optimization

#### • Gradient-based optimization applicable only for differentiable functions

- **Q:** What to do for non-differentiable or non-smooth functions (noisy gradients)?
- **Q:** What to do for numeric optimization with constraints?
- Depending on the nature of the function and constraints, there may be dedicated optimization algorithms
- Search-based methods for numerical optimization
  - Useful if we don't have a good initial guess for good parameter values
  - Good if function *f* to be optimized is not differentiable or not smooth or if the function domain is discontinuous
  - Easier to incorporate constraints than in gradient-based methods
  - For optimization of unconstrained differentiable functions slower and find worse solutions than gradient-based optimization

# Metaheuristics for Numerical Optimization

#### • Search-based methods for numerical optimization, some examples:

- Optimized Step Size Random Search (OSSRS)
- Symmetric Perturbation Stochastic Approximation (SPSA)
- Nelder-Mead Algorithm
- Nature inspired metaheuristics: Genetic Algorithm
- Genetic algorithms, which we've seen in discrete optimization can also be leveraged for numerical (i.e., continuous) optimization
  - **Q:** How to represent the chromosome?
  - Q: what selection, crossover, and mutation strategies/operators to use?

# Genetic Algorithm for Numerical Optimization

- Simplest case: one-parameter function, e.g.,  $f(x) = 7x^3 + 3x^2 15x + 21$ 
  - Chromosome must be some kind of encoding of the value of x
  - If we have **multiple parameters**, **chromosome** = concatenation of encodings

#### • Binary encoding (binary chromosome)

- Vector of length N with binary values
- E.g., N = 10, [0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0]
- Q: If we know that the domain of valid values for x is [a, b] what is the smallest increment (change in value) of x that we can encode?
- If our vectors are of length N, then we can have at most 2<sup>N</sup> different vectors
- 2<sup>N</sup> different values for the variable x, on its domain range [a, b]
  - So, the smallest "increment" in value change of x is  $(b a) / 2^{N}$

# Genetic Algorithm for Numerical Optimization

#### • Binary encoding (binary chromosome): example

- Single parameter (single value that we're encoding)
- E.g., N = 10,
- Range of values (domain) for x: [-10, 10] (a = -10, b = 10)
- So, the smallest "increment" in value change of x is  $(b a) / 2^{N}$
- Increment (precision): (10 (-10)) / 2<sup>10</sup> = 20 / 1024 = 0.0195

[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] → -10
[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1] → -10 + 0.0195 = -9.9805
[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0] → -9.9805 + 0.0195 = -9.961

**[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0]** → 10

# Genetic Algorithm for Numerical Optimization

- The **genetic algorithm** itself is exactly the same as in discrete optimization
- Fitness of the chromosome is the actual value f(x) for the value x that the chromosome encodes

#### Selection

- tournament or rhoulette wheel
- Mutation
  - Bit flipping (0 to 1 and vice versa)

```
genetic_algorithm(S, end)
p = create_init_population(S)
iter = 0
evaluate(p)
while not end(p, iter)
iter = iter + 1
p' = recombine(p)
mutate(p')
evaluate(p')
p = select(p U p')
```

return p

• Roulette wheel (or proportional) selection: probability of being selected for reproduction proportional to the fitness of the chromosome

 $\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{i}}) = f(\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{i}}) / \sum_{j}^{S} f(\mathsf{X}_{\mathsf{j}})$ 

- Let us have a population of 5 chromosomes and let
  - $fit(X_1) = 10, fit(X_2) = 20, fit(X_3) = 25, fit(X_4) = 25, fit(X_5) = 20 \rightarrow \text{convert into probabilities}$

	P( <b>X</b> <sub>1</sub> )	P( <b>X</b> <sub>2</sub> )	P( <b>X</b> <sub>3</sub> )	P( <b>X</b> <sub>4</sub> )	P( <b>X</b> <sub>5</sub> )
 (	) 0	.1 0	 .3    0.!	55 0	.8 1

- But if we're doing numerical **minimization** then **smaller values of** *f* **are better** 
  - The fitness of the chromosome can then be  $fit(x) = f_{MAX} f(x)$
  - $f_{MAX}$  is the maximal value of the function we're minimizing (on the domain of x)
    - If we don't know the actual max, it can be the smallest large value, such that  $f_{MAX} f(x)$  is not negative for any x

# Genetic Algorithm: Recombination

#### Common crossover operators

- Single-point crossover: select (typically randomly) the location at which to cut the chromosomes and "exchange them" → two "child" chromosomes
- Unless we're doing constrained optimization, resulting chromosomes are valid

Mutation: flip the bit (0 → 1 or 1 → 0) randomly (with some small mutation probability)

### Questions?

