

3 – Handling Errors Without Exceptions

Einführung in die Funktionale Programmierung

Prof. Frank Puppe, Felix Herrmann, Alexander Gehrke
Sommersemester 2023

Lehrstuhl für Informatik VI, Uni Würzburg

Mean of Doubles

How to implement a function which calculates the mean of a list of doubles?

```
def mean1(xs: List[Double]): Double = xs.sum / xs.size
```

Mean of Doubles

How to implement a function which calculates the mean of a list of doubles?

```
def mean1(xs: List[Double]): Double = xs.sum / xs.size
```

What happens if the list is empty? How do we deal with errors?

Mean of Doubles / Sentinel Values

Use sentinel values. `Nan` in this case

```
def mean1(xs: List[Double]): Double = xs.sum / xs.size
```

Has a lot of problems:

- Silently propagates through code. Caller doesn't have to check for `Nan`.
- If you want to check, you have to put `if/else` statements everywhere
- Maybe there is no sentinel value
- Requires special policy to call which might be at odds with how some function uses it when passed as a higher order function

Mean of Doubles / Multiple Return Values

```
def mean2(xs: List[Double]): (Boolean, Double) =  
  if xs.isEmpty then (true, 0.0)  
  else (false, xs.sum / xs.size)
```

Isn't too bad if you get compiler support, but:

Mean of Doubles / Multiple Return Values

```
func (iter *BatchObjectIter) Next() (...) {
    header, err := iter.f.ReadString('\n')
    if err != nil {
        return OID{}, "", 0, nil, err
    }
    oid, objectType, objectSize, err := parseBatchHeader("", header)
    if err != nil {
        return OID{}, "", 0, nil, err
    }
    data := make([]byte, objectSize+1)
    _, err = io.ReadFull(iter.f, data)
    if err != nil {
        return OID{}, "", 0, nil, err
    }
    data = data[:len(data)-1]
    return oid, objectType, objectSize, data, nil
}
```

Works, can't be missed (at least in go), but needs to be littered everywhere

Mean of Doubles / Global/Thread-Local Error Variables (`errno`)

Mean of Doubles / Global/Thread-Local Error Variables (`errno`)

|

Mean of Doubles / Global/Thread-Local Error Variables (`errno`)

I

won't

Mean of Doubles / Global/Thread-Local Error Variables (`errno`)

I

won't

even...

Mean of Doubles / Global/Thread-Local Error Variables (`errno`)

```
public static int getClockedInTime(ResultSet rs) {
    var startTime = rs.getInt("timestamp");
    rs.next();
    var endTime = rs.getInt("timestamp");
    rs.next();

    var warnings = rs.getWarnings();
    if (warnings != null) {
        throw new RuntimeException(warnings);
    }

    return endTime - startTime;
}
```

Mean of Doubles / Global/Thread-Local Error Variables (`errno`)

```
public static int getClockedInTime(ResultSet rs) {  
    var startTime = rs.getInt("timestamp");  
    rs.next();  
    var endTime = rs.getInt("timestamp");  
    rs.next();  
  
    var warnings = rs.getWarnings();  
    if (warnings != null) {  
        throw new RuntimeException(warnings);  
    }  
  
    return endTime - startTime;  
}
```

`getWarnings` gets cleared on `rs.next()`, so we are ignoring half of the warnings.

Mean of Doubles / Exceptions

```
def mean3(xs: List[Double]): Double =  
  if xs.isEmpty then  
    throw new ArithmeticException("mean of empty list")  
  else xs.sum / xs.size
```

First, the positive aspects:

- Doesn't clutter our code like in the go example
- Can be handled at one central location

Mean of Doubles / Exceptions

But:

- They are not typesafe: the signature of a function throwing an exception doesn't tell us anything about its exceptional behavior
- They don't need to be handled. The programmer might forget about them.
- They are not referentially transparent:

Mean of Doubles / The Solution

Since exceptions break referential transparency, we're back returning the only thing we can: values. We need a new datatype to represent our problem:

Mean of Doubles / The Solution

Since exceptions break referential transparency, we're back returning the only thing we can: values. We need a new datatype to represent our problem:

```
def mean(xs: List[Double]): Option[Double] =  
  if xs.isEmpty then None  
  else Some(xs.sum / xs.size)
```

- Let **None** represent failure
- Let **Some** represent success

The Option Datatype

Let's call this data type **Option**

```
enum Option[+A]:  
  case Some(get: A)  
  case None
```

We have two cases:

- We have a value (**Some**)
- We don't have a value (**None**)

The Option Datatype / The API

To work with it, we need a few more useful functions:

```
enum Option[+A]:  
  case Some(get: A)  
  case None  
  
  def map[B](f: A => B): Option[B] = ???
```

Implement the `map` functions, which takes a function and uses it to transform the value within (if there is one).



The Option Datatype / The API / Map

Solution map

```
def map[B](f: A => B): Option[B] = this match
  case None => None
  case Some(a) => Some(f(a))
```

The Option Datatype / The API

To work with it, we need a few more useful functions:

```
enum Option[+A]:  
    case Some(get: A)  
    case None  
  
def map[B](f: A => B): Option[B] = ???  
def getOrElse[B >: A](default: => B): B = ???
```

Implement the `getOrElse` function, which returns the value if it is there and gives you the passed default value otherwise.

For now, you can ignore the `=>` in the parameter type. For referentially transparent code, `=> B` is semantically the same as `B` and just an optimization. We will discuss its effects in detail next week.

The Option Datatype / The API / GetOrElse

Solution getOrElse

```
def getOrElse[B>:A](default: => B): B = this match
  case None => default
  case Some(a) => a
```

The Option Datatype / The API

To work with it, we need a few more useful functions:

```
enum Option[+A]:  
    case Some(get: A)  
    case None  
  
def map[B](f: A => B): Option[B] = ???  
def getOrElse[B >: A](default: => B): B = ???  
def flatMap[B](f: A => Option[B]): Option[B] = ???
```

Implement the **flatMap** function, which works like **map** but takes a function which yields an **Option[A]** instead of A.



The Option Datatype / The API / flatMap

Solution flatMap

```
def flatMap[B](f: A => Option[B]): Option[B] =  
  map(f) getOrElse None
```

– or –

```
def flatMap[B](f: A => Option[B]): Option[B] = this match  
  case None => None  
  case Some(a) => f(a)
```

The Option Datatype / The API

To work with it, we need a few more useful functions:

```
enum Option[+A]:  
  case Some(get: A)  
  case None  
  
def map[B](f: A => B): Option[B] = ???  
def getOrElse[B >: A](default: => B): B = ???  
def flatMap[B](f: A => Option[B]): Option[B] = ???  
def filter(f: A => Boolean): Option[A] = ???
```

Implement the function **filter**, which takes a predicate and yields **None** if the predicate doesn't match and keeps the value otherwise.



The Option Datatype / The API / filter

Solution filter

```
def filter(f: A => Boolean): Option[A] = this match
  case Some(a) if f(a) => this
  case _ => None
```

- or -

```
def filter(f: A => Boolean): Option[A] =
  flatMap(a => if f(a) then Some(a) else None)
```

The Option Datatype / Examples

So, how do we use this?

```
final case class Person(  
    name: String,  
    department: String,  
)  
  
def lookup(name: String): Option[Person] = ???  
def getManager(p: Person): Option[Person] = ???  
  
val p = lookup("John")  
val pDept = lookup("John").map(_.department)  
val pMan = lookup("John").flatMap(getManager)  
val pManMan = lookup("John").flatMap(getManager).flatMap(getManager)  
val pManManDepNoAcc = lookup("John")  
    .flatMap(getManager)  
    .flatMap(getManager)  
    .map(_.department)  
    .filter(!__.contains("Accounting"))
```

The Option Datatype / Examples

We can now chain error handling code. How do we combine multiple results?

```
final case class Person(  
    name: String,  
    department: String,  
)  
  
type Team = (Person, Person)  
  
def lookup(name: String): Option[Person] = ???  
def getTeam(name1: String, name2: String): Option[Team] =
```

You do it!



The Option Datatype / Examples

We can now chain error handling code. How do we combine multiple results?

```
final case class Person(  
    name: String,  
    department: String,  
)  
  
type Team = (Person, Person)  
  
def lookup(name: String): Option[Person] = ???  
def getTeam(name1: String, name2: String): Option[Team] =
```

```
def getTeam(name1: String, name2: String): Option[Team] =  
  lookup(name1).flatMap(p1 =>  
    lookup(name2).map(p2 => (p1, p2)))
```

The Option Datatype / Examples

Because nesting **flatMaps** and **maps** is done so often, Scala has syntactic sugar for it:

```
for
  aa <- a
  bb <- b
  cc <- c
  dd <- d
yield (aa + bb + cc + dd)
```

```
a.flatMap(aa =>
  b.flatMap(bb =>
    c.flatMap(cc =>
      d.map(dd =>
        aa + bb + cc + dd))))
```

This allows us to write code with **flatMaps** in a very concise and clear way.

The Option Datatype / How To Deal With Old APIs

How do we deal with old APIs? Let's say we have a function

```
def insuranceRate(age: Int, numberOfTickets: Int): Double
```

We get both `age` and `numberOfTickets` from an HTTP request which sadly has this data encoded as strings.

We need to parse them. `.toInt` to the rescue. But `.toInt` throws on invalid strings.

The Option Datatype / How To Deal With Old APIs

Introduce new function:

```
def Try[A](a: =>A): Option[A] =  
  try Option.Some(a)  
  catch  
    case e: Exception => Option.None
```

Then we can write:

```
def insuranceRateS(age: String, numberOfTickets: String): Option[Double] =  
  for  
    a <- Try(age.toInt)  
    n <- Try(numberOfTickets.toInt)  
  yield insuranceRate(a, n)
```

The Option Datatype / How To Deal With Multiple Values

Okay, so how do we deal with multiple values? What if we get a list of strings and should turn them into a list of integers or fail if one of those isn't valid?

```
val parsed = List("1", "2", "r").map(s => Try(s.toInt))
```

What's wrong here?

The Option Datatype / How To Deal With Multiple Values

Okay, so how do we deal with multiple values? What if we get a list of strings and should turn them into a list of integers or fail if one of those isn't valid?

```
val parsed = List("1", "2", "r").map(s => Try(s.toInt))
```

What's wrong here? This has the wrong type. We get `List[Option[Int]]` but need `Option[List[Int]]`.

The Option Datatype / How To Deal With Multiple Values

Exercise: Write the following function:

```
def sequence[A](as: List[Option[A]]): Option[List[A]] =
```

The Option Datatype / How To Deal With Multiple Values

Exercise: Write the following function:

```
def sequence[A](as: List[Option[A]]): Option[List[A]] =  
  as match  
    case Nil => Option.Some(Nil)  
    case h::t =>  
      for  
        hh <- h  
        tt <- sequence(t)  
      yield (hh :: tt)
```

Also possible using `foldRight` and `map2`

The Option Datatype / How To Deal With Multiple Values

This leaves us with:

```
val parsedS = sequence(List("1", "2", "r")).map(s => Try(s.toInt))
val parsedT = traverse(List("1", "2", "r"))(s => Try(s.toInt))

def traverse[A, B](l: List[A])(f: A => Option[B]): Option[List[B]] =
  sequence(l.map(f))
```

Where **traverse** is a function which combines **map** and **sequence** because those two often show up together.

The Option Datatype / Summary

We have seen:

- how to use the **Option** data type to represent failure or success
- how to chain multiple functions which might fail
- how to keep multiple results which may be failures and combine them (**map2** or **for ... yield**).
- how to cope with old APIs
- how to cope with multiple values

The Either Datatype

The option datatype has one major drawback: You lose the information what error occurred.

To solve this, we invent a new data type:

```
enum Either[+E, +A]:  
  case Left(value: E)  
  case Right(value: A)
```

- A value in both cases, **Left** and **Right**
- The **Left** class normally carries the error. This is why the left type is called **E**

The Either Datatype / API

We also have a very familiar API:

```
enum Either[+E, +A]:  
    case Left(value: E)  
    case Right(value: A)  
  
def map[B](f: A => B): Either[E, B] = ???  
def flatMap[EE >: E, B](f: A => Either[EE, B]): Either[EE, B] = ???  
def map2[EE >: E, B, C](b: Either[EE, B])(f: (A, B) => C): Either[EE, C] = ???
```

The Either Datatype / API

Implement the function `map2`. Use the `for` syntax for `flatMap` and `map` for that. You can use `this` to get the current instance, just like in Java.

```
for
  aa <- a
  bb <- b
yield (aa + bb)
```

```
enum Either[+E, +A]:
  case Left(value: E)
  case Right(value: A)

def map[B](f: A => B): Either[E, B] = ???
def flatMap[EE >: E, B](f: A => Either[EE, B]): Either[EE, B] = ???
def map2[EE >: E, B, C](b: Either[EE, B])(f: (A, B) => C): Either[EE, C] = ???
```

The Either Datatype / The API / map2

Solution map2

```
def map2[EE >: E, B, C](b: Either[EE, B])(f: (A, B) => C): Either[EE, C] =  
  for  
    a <- this  
    b1 <- b  
    yield f(a, b1)
```

The Either Datatype / The API / filter?

Why can't we have `filter(f: A => Boolean)` on `Either`, even though we had it on `Option`?



The Either Datatype / The API / filter?

Why can't we have `filter(f: A => Boolean)` on `Either`, even though we had it on `Option`?

```
case Left(value: E)
case Right(value: A)
```

Parametricity strikes again. If the predicate doesn't match, we can't return an `Left` because we don't know what `E` is and so we can't provide a value for it.

The Either Datatype

Either is very similar to **Option**.

Let's go through the old problems we solved with either to see how:

The Either Datatype / Chaining Multiple Functions

Chaining Multiple Functions:

```
final case class Person(  
    name: String,  
    department: String,  
)  
  
type Team = (Person, Person)  
  
def lookup(name: String): Either[String, Person] = ???  
def getManager(p: Person): Either[String, Person] = ???  
  
val p = lookup("John")  
val pDept = lookup("John").map(_.department)  
val pMan = lookup("John").flatMap(getManager)  
val pManMan = lookup("John").flatMap(getManager).flatMap(getManager)
```

The Either Datatype / Using Multiple Results

Using Multiple Results

```
def getTeam(name1: String, name2: String): Either[String, Team] =  
  for  
    p1 <- lookup(name1)  
    p2 <- lookup(name2)  
  yield (p1, p2)
```

The Either Datatype / Dealing With Old API

Dealing With Old API

Defining Try

```
def Try[A](a: =>A): Either[Exception, A] =  
  try Either.Right(a)  
  catch  
    case e: Exception => Either.Left(e)
```

and then using it

```
def insuranceRateS(age: String, numTickets: String): Either[Exception, Double] =  
  for  
    a <- Try(age.toInt)  
    n <- Try(numTickets.toInt)  
  yield insuranceRate(a, n)
```

The Either Datatype / Dealing With Multiple Values

```
val parsedS = sequence(List("1", "2", "r").map(s => Try(s.toInt)))
val parsedT = traverse(List("1", "2", "r"))(s => Try(s.toInt))
```

Yes, **traverse** and **sequence** also exist for **Either**. How to implement them will be on the exercise sheet.

The Option Datatype / Summary

We have seen:

- how to use the **Either** data type to represent failure or success
- how to chain multiple functions which might fail
- how to keep multiple results which may be failures and combine them (`map2` or `for ... yield`).
- how to cope with old APIs
- how to cope with multiple values
- how the API of **Either** is surprisingly similar to that of **Option**. We will abstract over that in future lectures.