



Visualization of Graphs

Lecture 11: Beyond Planarity Drawing Graphs with Crossings





Part I: Graph Classes and Drawing Styles

Alexander Wolff



Partially based on slides by Fabrizio Montecchini, Michalis Bekos, and Walter Didimo.

Planar graphs admit drawings in the plane without crossings.

Planar graphs admit drawings in the plane without crossings.



Planar graphs admit drawings in the plane without crossings.

Plane graph is a planar graph with a plane embedding = rotation system.



Planar graphs admit drawings in the plane without crossings.

Plane graph is a planar graph with a plane embedding = rotation system.

Planarity is recognizable in linear time.



Planar graphs admit drawings in the plane without crossings.

Plane graph is a planar graph with a plane embedding = rotation system.

Planarity is recognizable in linear time.

Different drawing styles...



Planar graphs admit drawings in the plane without crossings.

Plane graph is a planar graph with a plane embedding = rotation system.

Planarity is recognizable in linear time.

Different drawing styles...



straight-line drawing



Planar graphs admit drawings in the plane without crossings.

Plane graph is a planar graph with a plane embedding = rotation system.

Planarity is recognizable in linear time.

Different drawing styles...





straight-line drawing

orthogonal drawing



Planar graphs admit drawings in the plane without crossings.

Plane graph is a planar graph with a plane embedding = rotation system.

Planarity is recognizable in linear time.

Different drawing styles...





straight-line drawing

orthogonal drawing



grid drawing with bends & 3 slopes



Planar graphs admit drawings in the plane without crossings.

Plane graph is a planar graph with a plane embedding = rotation system.

Planarity is recognizable in linear time.

Different drawing styles...









straight-line drawing

orthogonal drawing

grid drawing with bends & 3 slopes

circular-arc drawing



We have seen a few drawing styles:

We have seen a few drawing styles:



force-directed drawing

We have seen a few drawing styles:



force-directed drawing



hierarchical drawing

We have seen a few drawing styles:



force-directed drawing

hierarchical drawing



We have seen a few drawing styles:







force-directed drawing

hierarchical drawing

Maybe not all crossings are equally bad?

We have seen a few drawing styles:







hierarchical drawing

Maybe not all crossings are equally bad?



We have seen a few drawing styles:





hierarchical drawing



Maybe not all crossings are equally bad?





Which crossings feel worse?

[Eades, Hong & Huang 2008]

Input: A graph drawing and designated path.



[Eades, Hong & Huang 2008]

Input: A graph drawing and designated path.



Input: A graph drawing and designated path. **Task:** Trace path and count number of edges.



[Eades, Hong & Huang 2008]

[Eades, Hong & Huang 2008]

Input: A graph drawing and designated path. **Task:** Trace path and count number of edges.



[Eades, Hong & Huang 2008]

Input: A graph drawing and designated path. **Task:** Trace path and count number of edges.



Input: A graph drawing and designated path.Task: Trace path and count number of edges.Results:



[Eades, Hong & Huang 2008]

Input: A graph drawing and designated path.

Task: Trace path and count number of edges.

Results: no crossings eye movements smooth and fast



[Eades, Hong & Huang 2008]

Input: A graph drawing and designated path.

Task: Trace path and count number of edges.

Results:no crossingseye movements smooth and fastlarge crossing angleseye movements smooth but slightly slower



Input: A graph drawing and designated path.

Task: Trace path and count number of edges.

large crossing angles small crossing angles

no crossings

Results:

eye movements smooth and fast

eye movements smooth but slightly slower

eye movements no longer smooth and very slow (back-and-forth movements at crossing points)



[Eades, Hong & Huang 2008]









$$k$$
-planar ($k=1)$

















```
k-planar (k=1)
```







```
fan-planar
```

We define **aesthetics** for edge crossings and avoid/minimize "bad" crossing configurations.





k-planar (k = 1)







fan-planar



We define **aesthetics** for edge crossings and avoid/minimize "bad" crossing configurations.



k-planar (k = 1)



k-quasi-planar (k = 3)







fan-planar





We define **aesthetics** for edge crossings and avoid/minimize "bad" crossing configurations.



k-planar (k = 1)



k-quasi-planar (k = 3)





fan-planar










We define **aesthetics** for edge crossings and avoid/minimize "bad" crossing configurations.



There are many more beyond-planar graph classes...

We define **aesthetics** for edge crossings and avoid/minimize "bad" crossing configurations.







fan-planar





right-angle crossing





k-planar (k = 1)





There are many more beyond-planar graph classes...



IC (independent crossing)

We define **aesthetics** for edge crossings and avoid/minimize "bad" crossing configurations.



k-planar (k = 1)



k-quasi-planar (k = 3)



fan-planar





right-angle crossing



There are many more beyond-planar graph classes...



IC (independent crossing)



fan-crossing-free

We define **aesthetics** for edge crossings and avoid/minimize "bad" crossing configurations.



k-planar (k = 1)



k-quasi-planar (k = 3)



fan-planar





right-angle crossing



There are many more beyond-planar graph classes...



IC (independent crossing)



fan-crossing-free



```
skewness-k \ (k = 2)
```

We define **aesthetics** for edge crossings and avoid/minimize "bad" crossing configurations.



k-planar (k = 1)



k-quasi-planar (k = 3)



fan-planar





right-angle crossing



There are many more beyond-planar graph classes...



IC (independent crossing)



fan-crossing-free



combinations, ...



RAC right-angle crossing







RAC right-angle crossing





slanted orthogonal









RAC right-angle crossing



orthogonal

slanted orthogonal

block / bundled crossings









RAC right-angle crossing



nal sl

slanted orthogonal

block / bundled crossings







RAC

orthogonal



slanted orthogonal



block / bundled crossings













orthogonal

slanted orthogonal



block / bundled crossings

circular layout: 28 invididual vs. 12 bundle crossings



RAC







cased crossings





RAC right-angle crossing







slanted orthogonal



block / bundled crossings





cased crossings



symmetric partial edge drawing





RAC right-angle crossing







slanted orthogonal



block / bundled crossings

circular layout: 28 invididual vs. 12 bundle crossings





cased crossings



symmetric partial edge drawing



1/4-SHPED

6 - 8

















thickness-2 graph G







rectangle visibility representation





thickness-2 graph G



rectangle visibility representation





thickness-2 graph G



rectangle visibility representation









rectangle visibility representation

- Every 1-planar graph admits a B1VR. [Brandenburg 2014; Evans et al. 2014; Angelini et al. 2018]
- G has at most 6n 20 edges. [Bose et al. 1997]





thickness-2 graph G



rectangle visibility representation

- G has at most 6n 20 edges. [Bose et al. 1997]
- Recognition is NP-complete. [Shermer 1996]





thickness-2 graph G



rectangle visibility representation

- G has at most 6n 20 edges. [Bose et al. 1997]
- Recognition is NP-complete. [Shermer 1996]
- Recognition becomes polynomial if embedding is fixed. [Biedl et al. 2018]





Visualization of Graphs

Lecture 11: Beyond Planarity Drawing Graphs with Crossings



Part II: Density & Relationships

Alexander Wolff



GD Beyond Planarity: a Taxonomy



Taken from: G. Liotta, Invited talk at SoCG 2017 "Graph Drawing Beyond Planarity: Some Results and Open Problems", Jul. 2017

GD Beyond Planarity: a Taxonomy



Taken from: G. Liotta, Invited talk at SoCG 2017 "Graph Drawing Beyond Planarity: Some Results and Open Problems", Jul. 2017

Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Theorem. [Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.



Theorem. [Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

Let the red edges be those that do not cross.



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.



Theorem. [Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- **This yields a red-blue plane graph** G_{rb} with



 G_{rb}

Theorem. [Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- This yields a red-blue plane graph G_{rb} with

 $m_{rb} \leq 3n - 6$



 G_{rb}
Theorem. [Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- **This yields a red-blue plane graph** G_{rb} with

 $m_{rb} \leq 3n - 6$

 \blacksquare and a green plane graph G_g with



Theorem. [Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- This yields a red-blue plane graph G_{rb} with $m_{rb} < 3n - 6$
 - and a green plane gran
- and a green plane graph G_g with $m_q \leq 3n-6$



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- **This yields a red-blue plane graph** G_{rb} with

 $m_{rb} \leq 3n - 6$

and a green plane graph G_g with

$$m_g \leq 3n - 6 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad m \leq m_{rb} + m_g \leq 6n - 12$$



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- **This yields a red-blue plane graph** G_{rb} with

 $m_{rb} \leq 3n - 6$

 \blacksquare and a green plane graph G_g with

$$m_g \leq 3n-6 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad m \leq m_{rb} + m_g \leq 6n-12$$



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- This yields a red-blue plane graph G_{rb} with

 $m_{rb} \leq 3n - 6$

 \blacksquare and a green plane graph G_g with

$$m_g \leq 3n-6 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad m \leq m_{rb} + m_g \leq 6n-12$$

$$m_g \leq f_{rb}/2$$



10 - 13

Density of 1-Planar Graphs

Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- This yields a red-blue plane graph G_{rb} with

 $m_{rb} \leq 3n - 6$

and a green plane graph G_g with

$$m_g \leq 3n - 6 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad m \leq m_{rb} + m_g \leq 6n - 12$$

$$m_g \le f_{rb}/2 \le (2n-4)/2$$



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- This yields a red-blue plane graph G_{rb} with

 $m_{rb} \leq 3n - 6$

and a green plane graph G_g with

$$m_g \leq 3n-6 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad m \leq m_{rb} + m_g \leq 6n-12$$

$$m_g \leq f_{rb}/2 \leq (2n-4)/2 = n-2$$



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- This yields a red-blue plane graph G_{rb} with

 $m_{rb} \leq 3n - 6$

and a green plane graph G_g with

$$m_g \leq 3n-6 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad m \leq m_{rb} + m_g \leq 6n-12$$

$$m_g \leq f_{rb}/2 \leq (2n-4)/2 = n-2$$

 $\Rightarrow m = m_{rb} + m_g$



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- This yields a red-blue plane graph G_{rb} with

 $m_{rb} \leq 3n - 6$

 \blacksquare and a green plane graph G_g with

$$m_g \leq 3n - 6 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad m \leq m_{rb} + m_g \leq 6n - 12$$

$$m_g \le f_{rb}/2 \le (2n-4)/2 = n-2$$

 $\Rightarrow m = m_{rb} + m_g \le 3n - 6 + n - 2 = 4n - 8$



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- **This yields a red-blue plane graph** G_{rb} with

 $m_{rb} \leq 3n - 6$

 \blacksquare and a green plane graph G_g with

$$m_g \leq 3n-6 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad m \leq m_{rb} + m_g \leq 6n-12$$

Observe that each green edge joins two faces in G_{rb} .

$$m_g \le f_{rb}/2 \le (2n-4)/2 = n-2$$

 $\Rightarrow m = m_{rb} + m_g \le 3n - 6 + n - 2 = 4n - 8$



Planar structure: 2n - 4 edges n - 2 faces

Theorem. [Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- This yields a red-blue plane graph G_{rb} with $m_{rb} < 3n - 6$
- \blacksquare and a green plane graph G_g with

 $m_g \leq 3n-6 \qquad \Rightarrow m \leq m_{rb} + m_g \leq 6n-12$ Edges

Observe that each green edge joins two faces in G_{rb} .

$$m_g \le f_{rb}/2 \le (2n-4)/2 = n-2$$

 $\Rightarrow m = m_{rb} + m_g \le 3n - 6 + n - 2 = 4n - 8$



Planar structure:

2n-4 edges

n-2 faces

Edges per face: 2 edges

Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

Proof sketch.

- Let the red edges be those that do not cross.
- Each blue edge crosses a green edge.
- This yields a red-blue plane graph G_{rb} with $m_{rb} < 3n - 6$
- \blacksquare and a green plane graph G_g with

 $m_g \leq 3n-6 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad m \leq m_{rb} + m_g \leq 6n-12$

Observe that each green edge joins two faces in G_{rb} .

$$m_g \le f_{rb}/2 \le (2n-4)/2 = n-2$$

 $\Rightarrow m = m_{rb} + m_g \le 3n - 6 + n - 2 = 4n - 8$



Planar structure:

2n-4 edges

n-2 faces

Edges per face: 2 edges Total: 4n - 8 edges

Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

A 1-planar graph with n vertices is called **optimal** if it has exactly 4n - 8 edges.



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

A 1-planar graph with n vertices is called optimal if it has exactly 4n - 8 edges. A 1-planar graph is called **maximal** if adding any edge would result in a non-1-planar graph.



Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

A 1-planar graph with n vertices is called optimal if it has exactly 4n - 8 edges. A 1-planar graph is called **maximal** if adding any edge would result in a non-1-planar graph.



Theorem. [Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges, which is a tight bound.

A 1-planar graph with n vertices is called optimal if it has exactly 4n - 8 edges. A 1-planar graph is called **maximal** if adding any edge would result in a non-1-planar graph.





Theorem. [Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges, which is a tight bound.

A 1-planar graph with n vertices is called optimal if it has exactly 4n - 8 edges. A 1-planar graph is called **maximal** if adding any edge would result in a non-1-planar graph.





 $n={\tt 20},m={\tt 48}$

Theorem. [Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8 edges, which is a tight bound.

A 1-planar graph with n vertices is called optimal if it has exactly 4n - 8 edges. A 1-planar graph is called **maximal** if adding any edge would result in a non-1-planar graph.





 $n={\tt 20},m={\tt 48}$

Theorem.[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997]A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

A 1-planar graph with n vertices is called optimal if it has exactly 4n - 8 edges. A 1-planar graph is called **maximal** if adding any edge would result in a non-1-planar graph.





[Ringel 1965, Pach & Tóth 1997] Theorem. A 1-planar graph with n vertices has at most 4n - 8edges, which is a tight bound.

A 1-planar graph with n vertices is called **optimal** if it has exactly 4n - 8 edges. A 1-planar graph is called **maximal** if adding any edge would result in a non-1-planar graph.

Theorem.

Brandenburg et al. 2013] There are maximal 1-planar graphs with *n* vertices and 45/17n - O(1) edges.

Theorem.

[Didimo 2013]

A 1-planar graph with n vertices that admits a straight-line drawing has at most 4n - 9 edges.





Theorem.

- A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:
 - k number of edges



Theorem.

- A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:
 - k number of edges
 - 0 3(n-2) Euler's formula

Theorem.

- A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:
 - k number of edges
 - 03(n-2)Euler's formula14(n-2)[Ringel 1965]

Theorem.

1

2

- A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:
 - k number of edges
 - **0 3**(*n*−2)
 - **4(***n* − **2)**

- Euler's formula
- [Ringel 1965]
- [Pach and Tóth 1997]

Theorem.

2

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- k number of edges
- 0 3(n-2)1 4(n-2)
- Euler's formula [Ringel 1965]

[Pach and Tóth 1997]



optimal 2-planar

Theorem.

2

A *k*-planar graph with *n* vertices has at most:

- knumber of edges
- 0 3(n-2)1
 - **4(***n*−2**)**

Euler's formula [Ringel 1965] [Pach and Tóth 1997]



optimal 2-planar

Planar structure:

Theorem.

2

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- knumber of edges
- 0 3(n-2)1
 - **4(***n*−2**)**

Euler's formula [Ringel 1965] [Pach and Tóth 1997]



optimal 2-planar

Planar structure:

Edges per face: Total:

Theorem.

2

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- k number of edges
- 0 3(n-2)1 4(n-2)
- Euler's formula [Ringel 1965]

[Pach and Tóth 1997]



optimal 2-planar

Planar structure:

Edges per face: Total:





2

A *k*-planar graph with *n* vertices has at most:

- knumber of edges
- 0 3(n-2)
- 4(n-2)1

Euler's formula [Ringel 1965]

[Pach and Tóth 1997]



optimal 2-planar

Planar structure:

n - m + f = 2 $m = c \cdot f$? $m = \frac{5}{2}f$

Edges per face: Total:



2

A *k*-planar graph with *n* vertices has at most:

- knumber of edges
- 3(n-2)0
- 4(n-2)1

Euler's formula [Ringel 1965]

n - m + f = 2

 $m = c \cdot f$?

 $m = \frac{5}{2}f$

[Pach and Tóth 1997]



optimal 2-planar

Planar structure:

 $\frac{5}{3}(n-2)$ edges $\frac{2}{3}(n-2)$ faces

Edges per face:

Total:





Total: 5(n-2) edges


Theorem.

3

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- k number of edges
- **0 3**(*n* − 2)
- 1 4(n-2)
- 2 5(n-2)

- Euler's formula [Ringel 1965] [Pach and Tóth 1997]
- [Pach et al. 2006]



optimal 3-planar

Theorem.

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- k number of edges
- 0 3(*n*-2)
- 1 4(n-2)
- 2 5(n-2)
- **3** 5.5(*n*−2)



optimal 3-planar

Theorem.

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- k number of edges
- 0 3(*n*-2)
- 1 4(n-2)
- 2 5(n-2)
- **3** 5.5(*n*−2)



optimal 3-planar

Theorem.

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- k number of edges
- **0 3**(*n*−2)
- 1 4(n-2)
- 2 5(n-2)
- **3** 5.5(*n*−2)



optimal 3-planar

Theorem.

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- k number of edges
- **0 3**(*n*−2)
- 1 4(*n*-2)
- 2 5(n-2)
- **3** 5.5(*n*−2)



optimal 3-planar

Theorem.

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- k number of edges
- **0 3**(*n*−2)
- 1 4(n-2)
- 2 5(n-2)
- **3** 5.5(*n*−2)



optimal 3-planar



Total: 5.5(n-2) edges

Theorem.

3

4

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- k number of edges
- 0 3(*n*-2)
- 1 4(n-2)2 5(n-2)
 - 5(n-2)5.5(n-2)
 - 6(*n* 2)

Euler's formula [Ringel 1965] [Pach and Tóth 1997] [Pach et al. 2006] [Ackerman 2015]



optimal 2-planar



Theorem.

2

3

4

> 4

A k-planar graph with n vertices has at most:

- k number of edges
- 0 3(n-2)
- 1 4(*n*-2)
 - 5(n-2)5.5(n-2)

6(n-2)

 $4.108\sqrt{k}n$

Euler's formula [Ringel 1965] [Pach and Tóth 1997] [Pach et al. 2006] [Ackerman 2015] [Pach and Tóth 1997]



optimal 2-planar



quasi-planar 6.5n - 20[Agarwal et al. 1997] $6.5n \pm c$ 4-planar $\substack{ \text{thickness-2} \\ 6n-12 }$ [Ackerman 2015] $6n \pm c$ 6n - 12[Pach & Tóth 1997] $\stackrel{1\text{-bend RAC}}{\leq 5.5n-10}$ 3-planar 5.5n - 11 \blacktriangleright 5.5 $n \pm c$ [Bekos et al. 2018] [Kaufmann & Ueckerdt 2014] 2-planar fan-planar $5n \pm c$ 5n - 105n - 10[Pach & Tóth 1997] [Didimo et al. 2011] bipart. fan-planar $\begin{array}{c} 1 \ -planar \\ 4n \ - \ 8 \end{array}$ RAC [Bodendiek et al. 1983] $4n \pm c$ 4n - 10< 4n - 12[Cheong et al. 2013] bipart. 2-planar < 3.5n - 7[Dehkordi et al. 2013] $3.5n \pm c$ [Auer et al. 2016] [Bekos et al. 2017] bipart. 1-planar $\leq 3n-8$ outer fan-planar bipartite RAC planar 3n - 6[Binucci et al. 2015] $3n \pm c$ 3n - 73n - 5[Angelini et al. 2018] [Dehkordi et al. 2013] outer 1-planar 2.5n-4outer RAC 2.5n - 5 $2.5n \pm c$ [Auer et al. 2016]

13 - 1











13 - 6



13 - 7

Sparse



quasi-planar 6.5n - 20[Agarwal et al. 1997] $6.5n \pm c$ 4-planar [Ackerman 2015] $6n \pm c$ 6n - 12[Pach & Tóth 1997] 1-bend RAC $\leq 5.5n - 10$ 3-planar 5.5n - 11 \blacktriangleright 5.5 $n \pm c$ [Bekos et al. 2018] [Kaufmann & Ueckerdt 2014] 2-planar fan-planar $5n \pm c$ 5n - 105n - 10[Pach & Tóth 1997] [Didimo et al. 2011] bipart. fan-planar $\begin{array}{c} 1 \ -planar \\ 4n \ - \ 8 \end{array}$ RAC [Bodendiek et al. 1983] $4n \pm c$ < 4n - 124n - 10[Cheong et al. 2013] bipart. 2-planar < 3.5n - 7[Dehkordi et al. 2013] $3.5n \pm c$ [Auer et al. 2016] [Bekos et al. 2017] bipart. 1-planar $\leq 3n-8$ outer fan-planar bipartite RAC planar 3n - 6[Binucci et al. 2015] $3n \pm c$ 3n - 73n - 5[Angelini et al. 2018] [Dehkordi et al. 2013] outer 1-planar 2.5n-4outer RAC 2.5n - 5 $2.5n \pm c$ [Auer et al. 2016]



The k-planar crossing number $\operatorname{cr}_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

The k-planar crossing number $cr_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

• $\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$

The k-planar crossing number $\operatorname{cr}_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \le n-2$$

• $\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$

The k-planar crossing number $\operatorname{cr}_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$

The k-planar crossing number $\operatorname{cr}_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $cr_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $cr_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $cr_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $\operatorname{cr}_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $cr_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $cr_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $\operatorname{cr}_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $\operatorname{cr}_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

14 - 13

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $cr_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $\operatorname{cr}_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = n-2$$

The k-planar crossing number $cr_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$



The k-planar crossing number $\operatorname{cr}_{k-pl}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of crossings required in any k-planar drawing of G.

•
$$\operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) \leq n-2$$

•
$$\operatorname{cr}(G) = 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{cr}_{1-\operatorname{pl}}(G) = 1$$

Theorem. [Chimani, Kindermann, Montecchiani & Valtr 2019] For every $\ell \ge 7$, there is a 1-planar graph G with $n = 11\ell + 2$ vertices such that cr(G) = 2 and $cr_{1-pl}(G) = n - 2$.

Crossing ratio $\rho_{1-pl}(n) = (n-2)/2$


Crossing Ratios

Table from "Crossing Numbers of Beyond-Planar Graphs Revisited" [van Beusekom, Parada & Speckmann 2021]

Family	Forbidden Configurations			Lower	Upper
<i>k</i> -planar	An edge crossed more than k times	k = 2		$\Omega({m n}/{m k})$	$O(k\sqrt{k}n)$
k-quasi-planar	k pairwise crossing edges		= 3 o o	$\Omega(n/k^3)$	$f(k)n^2\log^2 n$
Fan-planar	Two independent edges crossing a third or two adjacent edges crossing another edge from different "side"	€ } €₽		$\Omega(n)$	$O(n^2)$
(k, l)-grid-free	Set of k edges such that each edge crosses each edge from a set of l edges.		2 = 2	$\Omega\Bigl(\frac{n}{kl(k+l)}\Bigr)$	$g(k,l)n^2$
k-gap-planar	More than k crossings mapped to an edge in an optimal mapping	k = 1		$\Omega({m n}/{m k}^3)$	$O(k\sqrt{k}n)$
Skewness- k	Set of crossings not covered by at most k edges	\int_{0}^{k}	= 1 o o	$\Omega({m n}/{m k})$	$oldsymbol{O}(oldsymbol{k}oldsymbol{n}+oldsymbol{k}^2)$
k-apex	Set of crossings not covered by at most k vertices	$ \overset{\circ}{\underset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}$		$\Omega(n/k)$	$O(k^2n^2+k^4)$
Planarly connected	Two crossing edges that do not have two of their endpoint connected by a crossing-free edge	XX	Å	$\Omega({m n}^2)$	$O(n^2)$
k-fan-crossing-free	An edge that crosses k adjacent edges	$\sum_{k=2}^{k=2}$		$\Omega({m n}^2/{m k}^3)$	$oldsymbol{O}(oldsymbol{k}^2oldsymbol{n}^2)$
Straight-line RAC	Two edges crossing at an angle $< \frac{\pi}{2}$	X		$\Omega({m n}^2)$	$O(n^2)$





Visualization of Graphs

Lecture 11: Beyond Planarity Drawing Graphs with Crossings



Part III: Recognition

Alexander Wolff



GD Beyond Planarity: a Taxonomy



Taken from: G. Liotta, Invited talk at SoCG 2017 "Graph Drawing Beyond Planarity: Some Results and Open Problems", Jul. 2017

Theorem.

[Kuratowski 1930]

G planar \Leftrightarrow neither K_5 nor $K_{3,3}$ minor of G



Theorem.

[Kuratowski 1930]

G planar \Leftrightarrow neither K_5 nor $K_{3,3}$ minor of G

Theorem.

[Chen & Kouno 2005]

The class of 1-planar graphs is not closed under edge contraction.



 $n \times n$ grid

Theorem.

[Kuratowski 1930]

G planar \Leftrightarrow neither K_5 nor $K_{3,3}$ minor of G

Theorem.

[Chen & Kouno 2005]

The class of 1-planar graphs is not closed under edge contraction.



Theorem.

[Kuratowski 1930]

G planar \Leftrightarrow neither K_5 nor $K_{3,3}$ minor of G

Theorem.

[Chen & Kouno 2005]

The class of 1-planar graphs is not closed under edge contraction.



Theorem.

[Kuratowski 1930]

G planar \Leftrightarrow neither K_5 nor $K_{3,3}$ minor of G

Theorem.

[Chen & Kouno 2005]

The class of 1-planar graphs is not closed under edge contraction.

















Theorem.[Grigoriev & Bodlaender 2007, Korzhik & Mohar 2013]Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete.

Proof.

Reduction from 3-Partition.

Theorem.[Grigoriev & Bodlaender 2007, Korzhik & Mohar 2013]Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete.

Proof. Reduction from 3-Partition.



Theorem.[Grigoriev & Bodlaender 2007, Korzhik & Mohar 2013]Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete.

Proof. Reduction from 3-Partition.



Theorem.[Grigoriev & Bodlaender 2007, Korzhik & Mohar 2013]Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete.

Proof. Reduction from 3-Partition.





Theorem.[Grigoriev & Bodlaender 2007, Korzhik & Mohar 2013]Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete.

Proof. Reduction from 3-Partition.







Theorem.[Grigoriev & Bodlaender 2007, Korzhik & Mohar 2013]Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete.

Proof.

Reduction from 3-Partition.



Only 1-planar embedding of K_6





















Theorem.[Grigoriev & Bodlaender 2007, Korzhik & Mohar 2013]Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete.

Theorem.

[Cabello & Mohar 2013]

Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete –

even for almost planar graphs, i.e., planar graphs plus one edge.

Theorem.[Grigoriev & Bodlaender 2007, Korzhik & Mohar 2013]Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete.

Theorem.

[Cabello & Mohar 2013]

Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete –

even for almost planar graphs, i.e., planar graphs plus one edge.

Theorem.

[Bannister, Cabello & Eppstein 2018]

Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete –

even for graphs of bounded bandwidth (pathwidth, treewidth).

Theorem.[Grigoriev & Bodlaender 2007, Korzhik & Mohar 2013]Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete.

Theorem.

[Cabello & Mohar 2013]

Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete –

even for almost planar graphs, i.e., planar graphs plus one edge.

Theorem.[Bannister, Cabello & Eppstein 2018]

Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete –

even for graphs of bounded bandwidth (pathwidth, treewidth).

Theorem.[Auer, Brandenburg, Gleißner & Reislhuber 2015]Testing 1-planarity is NP-complete –even for 3-connected graphs with a fixed rotation system.

Theorem.[Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015]Testing IC-planarity is NP-complete.


Theorem.[Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015]Testing IC-planarity is NP-complete.



Theorem.[Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015]Testing IC-planarity is NP-complete.





Theorem.[Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015]Testing IC-planarity is NP-complete.





Theorem.[Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015]Testing IC-planarity is NP-complete.





Theorem.[Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015]Testing IC-planarity is NP-complete.







Theorem.[Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015]Testing IC-planarity is NP-complete.







Theorem.[Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015]Testing IC-planarity is NP-complete.







Theorem.[Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015]Testing IC-planarity is NP-complete.

Proof. Reduction from 1-planarit

U







GD Beyond Planarity: a Taxonomy



Taken from: G. Liotta, Invited talk at SoCG 2017 "Graph Drawing Beyond Planarity: Some Results and Open Problems", Jul. 2017





Visualization of Graphs

Lecture 11: Beyond Planarity Drawing Graphs with Crossings



Part IV: RAC Drawings

Alexander Wolff



GD Beyond Planarity: a Taxonomy



"Graph Drawing Beyond Planarity: Some Results and Open Problems", Jul. 2017





















































Theorem. [Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015] Some IC-planar straight-line RAC drawings require exponential area.

Theorem. [Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015] Every IC-planar graph has an IC-planar straight-line RAC drawing, and such a drawing can be found in polynomial time.







Theorem. [Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015] Some IC-planar straight-line RAC drawings require exponential area.

Theorem. [Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015] Every IC-planar graph has an IC-planar straight-line RAC drawing, and such a drawing can be found in polynomial time.









Theorem. [Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015] Some IC-planar straight-line RAC drawings require exponential area.

Theorem. [Brandenburg, Didimo, Evans, Kindermann, Liotta & Montecchiani 2015] Every IC-planar graph has an IC-planar straight-line RAC drawing, and such a drawing can be found in polynomial time.





























Every graph admits a RAC drawing

RAC Drawings With Enough Bends





Every graph admits a RAC drawingif we use enough bends.

RAC Drawings With Enough Bends





Every graph admits a RAC drawing

... if we use enough bends.

How many do we need at most in total or per edge?

3-Bend RAC Drawings

Theorem.

[Didimo, Eades & Liotta 2017] Every graph admits a 3-bend RAC drawing, that is, a RAC drawing where every edge has at most 3 bends.

3-Bend RAC Drawings

0

0

0

Theorem.

[Didimo, Eades & Liotta 2017] Every graph admits a 3-bend RAC drawing, that is, a RAC drawing where every edge has at most 3 bends.

0

0

0

0

3-Bend RAC Drawings

Theorem.[Didimo, Eades & Liotta 2017]Every graph admits a 3-bend RAC drawing, that is, a RACdrawing where every edge has at most 3 bends.


3-Bend RAC Drawings

Theorem.[Didimo, Eades & Liotta 2017]Every graph admits a 3-bend RAC drawing, that is, a RACdrawing where every edge has at most 3 bends.



3-Bend RAC Drawings

Theorem.[Didimo, Eades & Liotta 2017]Every graph admits a 3-bend RAC drawing, that is, a RACdrawing where every edge has at most 3 bends.



3-Bend RAC Drawings

Theorem.[Didimo, Eades & Liotta 2017]Every graph admits a 3-bend RAC drawing, that is, a RACdrawing where every edge has at most 3 bends.





28 - 1



28 - 2















Note: optimal 1-planar graphs \subset kite-triangulations.

Theorem.[Angelini et al. '11]Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bendRAC drawing



Note: optimal 1-planar graphs \subset kite-triangulations.

Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.



Note: optimal 1-planar graphs \subset kite-triangulations.

vertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Every kite-triangulation G on n

Proof.

Theorem.

[Angelini et al. '11]



Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.

Let G' be the underlying plane triangulation of G.



Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.

Let G' be the underlying plane triangulation of G. Let G'' = G' - S.



Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.



Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.



Note: optimal 1-planar graphs \subset kite-triangulations.

Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.



strictly convex face



Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.



strictly convex face



Note: optimal 1-planar graphs \subset kite-triangulations.

Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.



strictly convex face



Note: optimal 1-planar graphs \subset kite-triangulations.

Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.



strictly convex face



Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.





strictly convex face

otherwise



Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.

Let G' be the underlying plane triangulation of G. Let G'' = G' - S. Construct straight-line drawing of G''. Fill faces as follows:





strictly convex face

otherwise



Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.





strictly convex face

otherwise



Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.





strictly convex face

otherwise



Theorem. [Angelini et al. '11] Every kite-triangulation G on nvertices admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing, which can be constructed in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Proof.





strictly convex face

otherwise





Visualization of Graphs

Lecture 11: Beyond Planarity Drawing Graphs with Crossings





Part V: 1-Planar 1-Bend RAC Drawings

Alexander Wolff



Theorem.[Bekos, Didimo, Liotta, Mehrabi & Montecchiani 2017]Every 1-planar graph G admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing.

Theorem. [Bekos, Didimo, Liotta, Mehrabi & Montecchiani 2017] Every 1-planar graph G admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing. If a 1-planar embedding of G is given as part of the input, such a drawing can be computed in linear time.

Theorem. [Bekos, Didimo, Liotta, Mehrabi & Montecchiani 2017] Every 1-planar graph G admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing. If a 1-planar embedding of G is given as part of the input, such a drawing can be computed in linear time.

Observation.

In a triangulated 1-plane graph (not necessarily simple), each pair of crossing edges of G forms a(n empty) kite,



Theorem. [Bekos, Didimo, Liotta, Mehrabi & Montecchiani 2017] Every 1-planar graph G admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing. If a 1-planar embedding of G is given as part of the input, such a drawing can be computed in linear time.

Observation.

In a triangulated 1-plane graph (not necessarily simple), each pair of crossing edges of G forms a(n empty) kite, except for at most one pair if their crossing point is on the outer face of G.



Theorem. [Bekos, Didimo, Liotta, Mehrabi & Montecchiani 2017] Every 1-planar graph G admits a 1-planar 1-bend RAC drawing. If a 1-planar embedding of G is given as part of the input, such a drawing can be computed in linear time.

Observation.

In a triangulated 1-plane graph (not necessarily simple), each pair of crossing edges of G forms a(n empty) kite, except for at most one pair if their crossing point is on the outer face of G.



Theorem.

Chiba, Yamanouchi & Nishizeki 1984]

For every plane graph G with outer face C_k and every convex k-gon P, there exists a strictly convex planar straight-line drawing of G whose outer face coincides with P. Such a drawing can be computed in linear time.

Algorithm Outline



Algorithm Outline



Algorithm Step 1: Augmentation

G: simple 1-plane graph


1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.

2. Remove those multiple edges that belong to G.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.

2. Remove those multiple edges that belong to G.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.

2. Remove those multiple edges that belong to G.

3. Remove one(multiple) edge fromeach face of degreetwo (if any).



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.

2. Remove those multiple edges that belong to G.

3. Remove one(multiple) edge fromeach face of degreetwo (if any).

4. Triangulate faces of degree > 3 by inserting a star inside them.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.

2. Remove those multiple edges that belong to G.

3. Remove one(multiple) edge fromeach face of degreetwo (if any).

4. Triangulate faces of degree > 3 by inserting a star inside them.



1. For each pair of crossing edges add an enclosing 4-cycle.

2. Remove those multiple edges that belong to G.

3. Remove one(multiple) edge fromeach face of degreetwo (if any).

4. Triangulate facesof degree > 3 byinserting a starinside them.



Algorithm Outline









triangular faces



 G^+ triangulated 1-plane (multi-edges)

- triangular faces
- multiple edges never crossed



G⁺ triangulated 1-plane (multi-edges)

- triangular faces
- multiple edges never crossed
- only empty kites





- triangular faces
- multiple edges never crossed
- only empty kites





structure of each separation pair





- triangular faces
- multiple edges never crossed
- only empty kites





structure of each separation pair



 G^+ triangulated 1-plane (multi-edges)

- triangular faces
- multiple edges never crossed
- only empty kites





 \Rightarrow

structure of each separation pair

- G⁺ triangulated 1-plane (multi-edges)
- triangular faces
- multiple edges never crossed
- only empty kites





structure of each separation pair

- G⁺ triangulated 1-plane (multi-edges)
- triangular faces
- multiple edges never crossed
- only empty kites





structure of each separation pair

G⁺ triangulated 1-plane (multi-edges)

- triangular faces
- multiple edges never crossed
- only empty kites





structure of each separation pair





Algorithm Outline


























































 Γ^+ : 1-bend 1-planar RAC drawing of G^+



Algorithm Outline



Algorithm Step 4: Removal of Dummy Vertices



Algorithm Step 4: Removal of Dummy Vertices





Algorithm Step 4: Removal of Dummy Vertices



GD Beyond Planarity: a Taxonomy



Taken from: G. Liotta, Invited talk at SoCG 2017 "Graph Drawing Beyond Planarity: Some Results and Open Problems", Jul. 2017

GD Beyond Planarity: a Taxonomy



"Graph Drawing Beyond Planarity: Some Results and Open Problems", Jul. 2017

Literature

Books and surveys:

- [Didimo, Liotta & Montecchiani 2019] A Survey on Graph Drawing Beyond Planarity
- [Kobourov, Liotta & Montecchiani '17] An Annotated Bibliography on 1-Planarity
- [Hong and Tokuyama, editors '20] Beyond Planar Graphs

Some references for proofs:

- [Eades, Huang, Hong '08] Effects of Crossing Angles
- [Brandenburg et al. '13] On the density of maximal 1-planar graphs
- E [Chimani, Kindermann, Montecchani, Valtr '19] Crossing Numbers of Beyond-Planar Graphs
- [Grigoriev and Bodlaender '07] Algorithms for graphs embeddable with few crossings per edge
- [Angelini et al. '11] On the Perspectives Opened by Right Angle Crossing Drawings
- [Didimo, Eades, Liotta '17] Drawing graphs with right angle crossings
- [Bekos et al. '17] On RAC drawings of 1-planar graphs