

# Approximationsalgorithmen

# k-Center via Parametric Pruning

6. Vorlesung

Steven Chaplick

Wintersemester 2019/20

**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) satisfying the triangle inequality

**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality

vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ 



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality

vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ 



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality

For each vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ , c(v, S) is the cost of the cheapest edge from v to the a vertex in S.

**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality and a natural number  $k \leq |V|$ .

For each vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ , c(v, S) is the cost of the cheapest edge from v to the a vertex in S.

**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality and a natural number  $k \leq |V|$ .

For each vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ , c(v, S) is the cost of the cheapest edge from v to the a vertex in S.



**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality and a natural number  $k \leq |V|$ .

For each vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ , c(v, S) is the cost of the cheapest edge from v to the a vertex in S.





**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality and a natural number  $k \leq |V|$ .

For each vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ , c(v, S) is the cost of the cheapest edge from v to the a vertex in S.





**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with edge costs  $c \colon E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying the triangle inequality and a natural number  $k \leq |V|$ .

For each vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ , c(v, S) is the cost of the cheapest edge from v to the a vertex in S.







Let  $E = \{e_1, ..., e_m\}$  with  $c(e_1) \le ... \le c(e_m)$ .



Let  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  with  $c(e_1) \leq \dots \leq c(e_m)$ . Suppose we know that  $OPT = c(e_j)$ .



Let  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  with  $c(e_1) \leq \dots \leq c(e_m)$ . Suppose we know that  $OPT = c(e_j)$ .



Let  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  with  $c(e_1) \leq \dots \leq c(e_m)$ . Suppose we know that  $OPT = c(e_j)$ .



Let  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  with  $c(e_1) \leq \dots \leq c(e_m)$ . Suppose we know that  $OPT = c(e_j)$ .



... try each  $G_i$ .

 $\ldots$  try each  $G_i$ .

Def.



**Def.** A vertex set D of a graph H is **dominated**, when each vertex is either in D or adjacent to a vertex in D.



**Def.** A vertex set D of a graph H is **dominated**, when each vertex is either in D or adjacent to a vertex in D. The cardinality of a smallest dominating set in H is denoted by dom(H).



**Def.** A vertex set D of a graph H is **dominated**, when each vertex is either in D or adjacent to a vertex in D. The cardinality of a smallest dominating set in H is denoted by dom(H).



**Def.** A vertex set D of a graph H is **dominated**, when each vertex is either in D or adjacent to a vertex in D. The cardinality of a smallest dominating set in H is denoted by dom(H).



... but computing dom(H) is NP-hard.

# Square of a Graph

#### **Idea:** Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened" $G_i$
**Idea:** Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 

**Def.** The square  $H^2$  of a graph H has the same vertex set as H.

**Idea:** Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 

**Def.** The square  $H^2$  of a graph *H* has the same vertex set as *H*.



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 



**Idea**: Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_i$ 

**Def.** The square  $H^2$  of a graph H has the same vertex set as H. Additionally, two vertices  $u \neq v$  are adjacent in  $H^2$  when they are within distance two in H.

Obs. A dominating set in  $G_j^2$  with  $\leq k$  elements is already a 2-Approximation.



**Idea:** Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_j$ 

**Def.** The **square**  $H^2$  of a graph H has the same vertex set as H. Additionally, two vertices  $u \neq v$  are adjacent in  $H^2$  when they are within distance **two** in H.

Obs. A dominating set in  $G_j^2$  with  $\leq k$  elements is already a 2-Approximation. Why?



**Idea:** Find a small dominating set in a "coarsened"  $G_j$ 

**Def.** The square  $H^2$  of a graph H has the same vertex set as H. Additionally, two vertices  $u \neq v$  are adjacent in  $H^2$  when they are within distance **two** in H.

Obs. A dominating set in  $G_j^2$  with  $\leq k$ elements is already a 2-Approximation. Why?  $\max_{e \in E(G_i)} = e_j !$ 



**Def.** A vertex set *U* in a graph is called **independent** (or **stable**), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge.



**Def.** A vertex set *U* in a graph is called **independent** (or **stable**), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



Def. A vertex set *U* in a graph is called independent (or stable), if no pair of vertices in *U* form an edge. An independent set is called maximal when no superset of it is an independent set.



# **Lemma.** For a graph *H* and an independent set *U* in $H^2$ , $|U| \le \operatorname{dom}(H)$ .

# **Lemma.** For a graph *H* and an independent set *U* in $H^2$ , $|U| \le \operatorname{dom}(H)$ .
















Star





Star

**Lemma.** For a graph *H* and an independent set *U* in  $H^2$ ,  $|U| \le \operatorname{dom}(H)$ .



Star

**Lemma.** For a graph *H* and an independent set *U* in  $H^2$ ,  $|U| \le \operatorname{dom}(H)$ .



Star

**Lemma.** For a graph *H* and an independent set *U* in  $H^2$ ,  $|U| \le \operatorname{dom}(H)$ .

What does a dominating set of *H* look like in  $H^2$ ?



Clique

Star

**Lemma.** For a graph *H* and an independent set *U* in  $H^2$ ,  $|U| \le \operatorname{dom}(H)$ .

What does a dominating set of *H* look like in  $H^2$ ?



Clique

Star

**Lemma.** For a graph *H* and an independent set *U* in  $H^2$ ,  $|U| \le \operatorname{dom}(H)$ .

What does a dominating set of *H* look like in  $H^2$ ?



Clique

Algorithm Metric-*k*-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost:  $c(e_1) \leq \ldots \leq c(e_m)$ 

Algorithm Metric-*k*-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost:  $c(e_1) \leq \ldots \leq c(e_m)$ for  $j = 1, \ldots, m$  do

Algorithm Metric-*k*-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost:  $c(e_1) \leq ... \leq c(e_m)$ **for** j = 1, ..., m **do** Construct  $G_j^2$ 

Algorithm Metric-*k*-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost:  $c(e_1) \leq ... \leq c(e_m)$ **for** j = 1, ..., m **do** Construct  $G_j^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_j$  in  $G_j^2$ 

```
Algorithm Metric-k-CENTER
Sort the edges of G by cost: c(e_1) \leq ... \leq c(e_m)
for j = 1, ..., m do
Construct G_j^2
Find a maximal independent set U_j in G_j^2
if |U_j| \leq k then
return U_j
```

Algorithm Metric-*k*-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost:  $c(e_1) \leq \ldots \leq c(e_m)$ for j = 1, ..., m do Construct  $G_i^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_i$  in  $G_i^2$ if  $|U_i| \leq k$  then return  $U_i$ 

**Lemma.** For *j* provided by the Algorithm, we have  $c(e_j) \leq \text{OPT}$ .

```
Algorithm Metric-k-CENTER
Sort the edges of G by cost: c(e_1) \leq ... \leq c(e_m)
for j = 1, ..., m do
Construct G_j^2
Find a maximal independent set U_j in G_j^2
if |U_j| \leq k then
return U_j
```

**Lemma.** For *j* provided by the Algorithm, we have  $c(e_j) \leq OPT$ .

**Theorem.** The above algorithm is a factor-**2** approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem.

What about a tight example?

What about a tight example?



What about a tight example?



What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

What about a tight example?

2

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER.

What about a tight example?



**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), k

What about a tight example?



**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), *k* 



What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), kConstr. complete graph  $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ 



What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), *k* Constr. complete graph  $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ 



What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), *k* Constr. complete graph  $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ with  $c(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e \in E \\ 2, & \text{if } e \in E' \end{cases}$ 

What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), kConstr. complete graph  $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ with  $c(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e \in E \\ 2, & \text{if } e \in E' \end{cases}$ 

S: metric *k*-Center



What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), kConstr. complete graph  $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ with  $c(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e \in E \\ 2, & \text{if } e \in E' \end{cases}$ 

> S: metric *k*-Center If dom(*G*)  $\leq k$ , then cost(*S*) = 1

What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), kConstr. complete graph  $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ with  $c(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e \in E \\ 2, & \text{if } e \in E' \end{cases}$ 

> S: metric *k*-Center If dom(*G*)  $\leq k$ , then cost(*S*) = 1



What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), kConstr. complete graph  $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ with  $c(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e \in E \\ 2, & \text{if } e \in E' \end{cases}$ 

> S: metric k-Center If dom(G)  $\leq k$ , then cost(S) = 1 If dom(G) > k, then cost(S) = 2

What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), kConstr. complete graph  $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ with  $c(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e \in E \\ 2, & \text{if } e \in E' \end{cases}$ 

> S: metric k-Center If dom(G)  $\leq k$ , then cost(S) = 1 If dom(G) > k, then cost(S) = 2

What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), kConstr. complete graph  $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ with  $c(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e \in E \\ 2, & \text{if } e \in E' \end{cases}$ 

> S: metric *k*-Center If dom(*G*)  $\leq k$ , then cost(*S*) = 1 If dom(*G*) > *k*, then cost(*S*) = 2

What about a tight example?

**Theorem.** Assuming  $P \neq NP$ , there is no factor- $(2 - \epsilon)$  approximation algorithm for the metric *k*-CENTER problem, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** Reduce from dominating set to metric *k*-CENTER. Given.: G = (V, E), kConstr. complete graph  $G' = (V, E \cup E')$ with  $c(e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } e \in E \\ 2, & \text{if } e \in E' \end{cases}$   $\triangle$ -inequality holds S: metric *k*-Center If dom(G)  $\leq k$ , then cost(S) = 1If dom(G) > k, then cost(S) = 2

### Metric *k*-CENTER problem

**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with metric edge costs  $c: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  and a natural number  $k \leq |V|$ .

For each vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ , c(v, S) is the cost of the cheapest edge from v to the a vertex in S.

**Find**: A *k*-element vertex set *S*, such that  $cost(S) := max_{v \in V} c(v, S)$  is minimized.

# Metric *k*-CENTER problem weighted

**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with metric edge costs  $c: E \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  and a natural number  $k \leq |V|$ .

For each vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ , c(v, S) is the cost of the cheapest edge from v to the a vertex in S.

**Find**: A *k*-element vertex set *S*, such that  $cost(S) := max_{v \in V} c(v, S)$  is minimized.

# Metric *k*-CENTER problem weighted

**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with metric edge costs  $c: E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  and a natural number  $k \leq |V|$ . , vertex weights  $w: V \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  and a weight limit  $W \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ 

For each vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ , c(v, S) is the cost of the cheapest edge from v to the a vertex in S.

**Find**: A *k*-element vertex set *S*, such that  $cost(S) := max_{v \in V} c(v, S)$  is minimized.

# Metric *k*-CENTER problem weighted

**Given**: A complete graph G = (V, E) with metric edge costs  $c: E \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  and a natural number  $k \leq |V|$ . , vertex weights  $w: V \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$  and a weight limit  $W \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ 

For each vertex set  $S \subseteq V$ , c(v, S) is the cost of the cheapest edge from v to the a vertex in S.

vertex set *S* of weight at most *W*  **Find**: A *k*-element vertex set *S*, such that  $cost(S) := max_{v \in V} c(v, S)$  is minimized.
Algorithm metric-<br/>Sort the edges of G by  $cost : c(e_1) \le \ldots \le c(e_m)$ for  $j = 1, \ldots, m$  do<br/>Construct  $G_j^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_j$  in  $G_j^2$ 

if  $|U_j| \le k$  then | return  $U_j$ 

Algorithm metric-weighted-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost :  $c(e_1) \leq ... \leq c(e_m)$ for j = 1, ..., m do Construct  $G_j^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_j$  in  $G_j^2$ 

> if  $|U_j| \le k$  then | return  $U_j$

Algorithm metric-weighted-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost :  $c(e_1) \leq \ldots \leq c(e_m)$ for j = 1, ..., m do Construct  $G_i^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_i$  in  $G_i^2$ what about the weights? if  $|U_j| \le k$  then | return  $U_j$ 







Algorithm metric-weighted-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost :  $c(e_1) \leq \ldots \leq c(e_m)$ for j = 1, ..., m do Construct  $G_i^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_i$  in  $G_i^2$ Compute  $S_i := \{ s_i(u) \mid u \in U_i \}$ if  $|U_i| \leq k$  then  $u \in U_j$   $\bullet s_j(u)$ return U<sub>i</sub>

Algorithm metric-weighted-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost :  $c(e_1) \leq \ldots \leq c(e_m)$ for j = 1, ..., m do Construct  $G_i^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_i$  in  $G_i^2$ Compute  $S_i := \{ s_i(u) \mid u \in U_i \}$ if  $|U_j| \leq k$  then  $w(S_j) \leq W$ | return  $U_j$  u

Algorithm metric-weighted-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost :  $c(e_1) \leq \ldots \leq c(e_m)$ for j = 1, ..., m do Construct  $G_i^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_i$  in  $G_i^2$ Compute  $S_i := \{ s_i(u) \mid u \in U_i \}$ if  $|U_j| \leq k$  then  $w(S_j) \leq W$ return  $U_j S_j$   $u \in U_j$   $s_j(u)$ 

Algorithm metric-weighted-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost :  $c(e_1) \leq \ldots \leq c(e_m)$ for j = 1, ..., m do Construct  $G_i^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_i$  in  $G_i^2$ Compute  $S_i := \{ s_i(u) \mid u \in U_i \}$ if  $|U_j| \leq k$  then  $w(S_j) \leq W$   $| return U_j S_j \qquad u \in U_j$  $\mathsf{s}_i(u)$ 

Algorithm metric-weighted-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost :  $c(e_1) \leq \ldots \leq c(e_m)$ for j = 1, ..., m do Construct  $G_i^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_i$  in  $G_i^2$ Compute  $S_i := \{ s_i(u) \mid u \in U_i \}$ if  $|U_j| \leq k$  then  $w(S_j) \leq W$ return  $U_j S_j$   $u \in U_j$  $s_j(u) \leq 3c(e_j)$ 

Algorithm metric-weighted-CENTER Sort the edges of *G* by cost :  $c(e_1) \leq \ldots \leq c(e_m)$ for j = 1, ..., m do Construct  $G_i^2$ Find a maximal independent set  $U_i$  in  $G_i^2$ Compute  $S_i := \{ s_i(u) \mid u \in U_i \}$ if  $|U_j| \leq k$  then  $w(S_j) \leq W$ return  $U_j S_j$   $u \in U_j$ •  $s_j(u)$  $\leq 3c(e_j)$ 

 $s_j(u) :=$ lightest node in  $N_{G_j}(u) \cup \{u\}$ 

**Theorem.** The above is a factor-**3** approximation algorithm for the metric weighted-CENTER problem.