Approximation Algorithms

Lecture 9:
An Approximation Scheme for Euclidean TSP

Part I:
The Traveling Salesman Problem

Alexander Wolff


Winter term 2024/25

Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)

Question: What's the fastest way to deliver all parcels to their destination?

Given: A set of n houses (points) in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Task: Find a tour (Hamiltonian cycle) of min. length.




Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)

Question: What's the fastest way to deliver all parcels to their destination?

Given: A set of n houses (points) in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Task: Find a tour (Hamiltonian cycle) of min. length.

Distance between two points?



For every polynomial $p(n)$, TSP cannot be approximated within a factor of $2^{p(n)}$ (unless P = NP).

There is a $3/2$-approximation algorithm for Metric TSP [Christofides'76]

Metric TSP cannot be approximated within factor $123/122$ (unless P = NP).


Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP)

Question: What's the fastest way to deliver all parcels to their destination?

Given: A set of n houses (points) in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Task: Find a tour (Hamiltonian cycle) of min. length.

Let's assume that the salesman flies $\Rightarrow$ Euclidean distances.



Simplifying Assumptions

- Houses inside $(L \times L)$-square
- $L := 4n^2 = 2^k$; $k = 2 + 2\log_2 n$
- integer coordinates

("justification": homework)


Goal: $(1+\varepsilon)$-approximation!


Approximation Algorithms

Lecture 9:
A PTAS for Euclidean TSP


Part II:
Dissection

Basic Dissection





Portals



- Let m be a power of 2 in the interval $[k/\varepsilon, 2k/\varepsilon]$.

  Recall that $k = 2 + 2\log_2 n$.
  $\Rightarrow m \in O((\log n)/\varepsilon)$

- **Portals** on level-i line are at a distance of $L/(2^i m)$.

- Every level-i square has size $L/2^i \times L/2^i$.


- A level-i square has $\leq 4m$ portals on its boundary.

Approximation Algorithms

Lecture 9:
A PTAS for Euclidean TSP

Part III:
Well-Behaved Tours

Well-Behaved Tours




A tour is *well-behaved* if


- it involves all houses and a subset of the portals,
- no edge of the tour crosses a line of the basic dissection,
- it is crossing-free.

W.l.o.g. (homework):
No portal visited more than twice

Crossing



No crossing



Computing a Well-Behaved Tour

Lemma. An optimal well-behaved tour can be computed in $2^{O(m)} = n^{O(1/\varepsilon)}$ time.

Sketch.

- Dynamic programming!
- Compute sub-structure of an optimal tour for each square in the dissection tree.
- These solutions can be efficiently propagated bottom-up through the dissection tree.




Approximation Algorithms

Lecture 9:
A PTAS for Euclidean TSP

Part IV:
Dynamic Program

Dynamic Program (I)




Each well-behaved tour induces the following in each square Q of the dissection:

- a path cover of the houses in Q,
- ...such that each portal of Q is visited 0, 1 or 2 times,

$\Rightarrow$ at most $3^{4m} \in 3^{O((\log n)/\varepsilon)} = n^{O(1/\varepsilon)}$ possibilities

$m = O((\log n)/\varepsilon)$

Dynamic Program (II)




Compute

- for each square Q in the dissection and
- for each crossing-free pairing P of Q,

an optimal path cover that respects P.

How?

Dynamic Program (III)



For a given square Q and pairing P:

- Iterate over all $(n^{O(1/\varepsilon)})^4 = n^{O(1/\varepsilon)}$ crossing-free pairings of the child squares.
- Minimize the cost over all such pairings that additionally respect P.
- Correctness follows by induction.


Lemma. An optimal well-behaved tour can be computed in $2^{O(m)} = n^{O(1/\varepsilon)}$ time.

Approximation Algorithms

Lecture 9:
A PTAS for Euclidean TSP

Part V:
Shifted Dissections

Shifted Dissections



- The best well-behaved tour can be a bad approximation.
- Consider an (a, b)-shifted dissection:

  $x \mapsto (x + a) \bmod L$
  $y \mapsto (y + b) \bmod L$


- Squares in the dissection tree are "wrapped around".
- Dynamic program must be modified accordingly.

Shifted Dissections (II)

Lemma. If π is an optimal tour and $N(\pi)$ is the number of crossings of π with the lines of the $(L \times L)$-grid, then $N(\pi) \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \mathrm{OPT}$.

Proof.

- Consider a tour as an ordered cyclic sequence.
- Each edge e generates $N_e \leq \Delta x + \Delta y$ crossings.
- Crossings at the endpoint of an edge are counted for the next edge.



$0 \leq (\Delta x - \Delta y)^2$

- ${N_e}^2 \leq (\Delta x + \Delta y)^2 \leq 2(\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2) = 2|e|^2$.
- $N(\pi) = \sum_{e \in \pi} N_e \leq \sum_{e \in \pi} \sqrt{2|e|^2} = \sqrt{2} \cdot \mathrm{OPT}$.

□

Approximation Algorithms


Lecture 9:
A PTAS for Euclidean TSP

Part VI:
Approximation Factor

Shifted Dissections (III)

Theorem. Let $a, b \in [0, L-1]$ be chosen independently and uniformly at random. Then the expected cost of an optimal well-behaved tour with respect to the (a, b)-shifted dissection is at most $(1 + 2\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)\mathrm{OPT}$.

Proof. Consider optimal tour π. Make π well-behaved by moving each intersection point with the $(L \times L)$-grid to the nearest portal.



$\Rightarrow$ Detour per intersection $\leq$ inter-portal distance.

Shifted Dissections (III)

- Consider an intersection point between π and a line l of the $(L \times L)$-grid.

- With probability at most $2^i/L = 2^{i-k}$, line l is a level-i line.
  $\Rightarrow$ Increase in tour length $\leq L/(2^i m)$ (inter-portal distance).

- Thus, the expected increase in tour length due to this intersection is at most: $m \in [k/\varepsilon, 2k/\varepsilon]$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{2^i}{L} \cdot \frac{L}{2^i m} \leq \frac{k+1}{m} \leq \frac{k+1}{k} \cdot \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

- Summing over all $N(\pi) \leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \mathrm{OPT}$ intersection points and applying linearity of expectation yields the claim.

Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme

Theorem. Let $a, b \in [0, L-1]$ be chosen independently and uniformly at random. Then the expected cost of an optimal well-behaved tour with respect to the (a, b)-shifted dissection is at most $(1 + 2\sqrt{2}\varepsilon)\mathrm{OPT}$.

Theorem. There is a *deterministic* algorithm (PTAS) for Euclidean TSP that provides, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, a $(1+\varepsilon)$-approximation in $n^{O(1/\varepsilon)}$ time.

Proof. Try all $L^2 \in O(n^4)$ many (a, b)-shifted dissections. By the previous theorem and the pigeon-hole principle, one of them is good enough. □

Literature

- William J. Cook: Pursuit of the Traveling Salesman: Mathematics at the Limits of Computation.
  Princeton University Press, 2011.

- Sanjeev Arora: Polynomial Time Approximation Schemes for Euclidean Traveling Salesman and other Geometric Problems.
  J. ACM, 45(5):753–782, 1998.

- Joseph S. B. Mitchell: Guillotine Subdivisions Approximate Polygonal Subdivisions: A Simple Polynomial-Time Approximation Scheme for Geometric TSP, k-MST, and Related Problems.
  SIAM J. Comput., 28(4):1298–1309, 1999.

- Sanjeev Arora: Nearly linear time approximation schemes for Euclidean TSP and other geometric problems.
  Network Design 1–2, 1997

Randomized, $O\left(n(\log n)^{O(1/\varepsilon)}\right)$ time

Literature (cont'd)

Runtime $O\left(n^{O(1/\varepsilon^2)}\right)$

- Sanjeev Arora, Michelangelo Grigni, David Karger, Philip Klein, Andrzej Wołoszyn: Polynomial time approximation scheme for Weighted Planar Graph TSP. Proc. SODA, p. 33–41, 1998.
- Nicos Christofides: Worst-case analysis of a new heuristic for the travelling salesman problem. Technical Report 388, Graduate School of Industrial Administration, CMU, February 1976.
- Anatoliy Serdyukov: On some extremal walks in graphs. Upravlyaemye Sistemy, 17:76–79, 1978 (submitted January 27, 1976)
- René van Bevern, Viktoriia A. Slugina: A historical note on the 3/2-approximation algorithm for the metric traveling salesman problem. Historia Mathematica, 53:118–127, 2020. https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.02437
- Anna R. Karlin, Nathan Klein, Shayan Oveis Gharan: A (slightly) improved approximation algorithm for metric TSP. Proc. STOC, p. 32–45, 2021: approximation factor $1.5 - 10^{-36}$. Best paper award!