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## Dealing with NP-Hard Problems

What should we do?
■ Sacrifice optimality for speed
■ Heuristics

- Approximation Algorithms
- Optimal Solutions
- Exact exponential-time algorithms
- Fine-grained analysis - parameterized algorithms

Heuristic | Approximation |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| NP-hard |  |
| Exponential | FPT |
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Idea: If $k \in \mathcal{O}(1)$, then $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{k} \cdot k \cdot(|V|+|E|)\right) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(|V|+|E|)$, in other words, if we assume the parameter $k$ to be fixed, $k$-VERTEX Cover becomes tractable.
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In all these examples, $k$ is the natural parameter that comes with the decision problem.
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## Examples and Counterexamples

k-Vertex Cover
■ NP-complete

- but FPT with respect to $k$
k-Clique
- NP-complete
- but XP with respect to $k$
- Under common assumptions, $k$-CLIQUE is not FPT with respect to $k$ (namely, $k$-CLIQUE is $W[1]$-complete with respect to $k ; \rightarrow$ Section 13 in [1])
- There is an $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{\Delta} \cdot \Delta^{2} \cdot(|V|+|E|)\right)$ time algorithm for $k$-ClIQUE, where $\Delta$ is the maximum degree of the input graph $\Rightarrow k$-CLIQUE is FPT with respect to $\Delta$.
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Path-/tree-like structure can be useful for designing dynamic programming algorithms.

## (Weighted) Independent Set

Input. A graph $G=(V, E)$. Weight function $w: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.
Output. A set $I \subseteq V$ that is independent, i.e., $\forall u, v \in I:\{u, v\} \notin E$, and has maximum weight, i.e., $w(I):=\sum_{v \in I} w(v)$ is maximized.
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- (Already unweighted) Independent SET is NP-complete,
- but can be solved efficiently on tree-like graphs (also when weighted).

■ On trees, (Weighted) Independent Set can be solved in linear time.
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## Independent Set in Trees

Choose an arbitrary root $r$.
Let $T(v):=$ subtree rooted at $v$
Let $A(v):=$ maximum weight of an independent set $I$ in $T(v)$
Let $B(v):=$ maximum weight of an independent set $I$ in $T(v)$ where $v \notin I$


■ If $v$ is a leaf: $B(v)=0$ and $A(v)=w(v)$
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## Independent Set in Trees

Choose an arbitrary root $r$.
Let $T(v):=$ subtree rooted at $v$
Let $A(v):=$ maximum weight of an independent set $I$ in $T(v)$
Let $B(v):=$ maximum weight of an independent set $I$ in $T(v)$ where $v \notin I$


- If $v$ is a leaf: $B(v)=0$ and $A(v)=w(v)$
- If $v$ has children $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\ell}$ :

$$
B(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} A\left(x_{i}\right) ; A(v)=\max \left\{B(v), w(v)+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} B\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

Algorithm: Compute $A(\cdot)$ and $B(\cdot)$ bottom-up, return $A(r)$.

## Grid Graphs
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We will study Independent Set in subgraphs of $k \times N$ grid graphs.
Goal: An FPT algorithm with respect to the parameter $k$.
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Let $X_{j}$ be the $j$-th column, that is, $X_{j}=\vee(G) \cap\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$.
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$C[j, Y]:=$ maximum weight of an independent set $I$ in $G_{j}$ such that $I \cap Y=\varnothing$
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Let $G_{j}$ be the graph induced by the first $j$ columns $X_{1} \cup X_{2} \cup \ldots X_{j}$.
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$\rightarrow$ total running time $\leq 3^{k} k^{\mathcal{O}(1)} N$.
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We mainly used the fact that the graph consists of a sequence of small separators.
A similiar fact was used in the algorithm for trees.
Goal: Define a more general graph class featuring a structure that is suited for this kind of dynamic programming approach.
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$a, b$
$b, c$
$c, d$

$$
\operatorname{pw}(G)=1
$$
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■ Its runtime depends linearly on $|V|+|E|$.
$\Rightarrow$ When designing FPT algorithms with respect to the pathwidth, we may assume to be given a path decomposition!
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X_{i+1}=X_{i} \cup\{v\} \text { where } v \notin X_{i} \quad X_{i}=X_{i+1} \cup\{v\} \text { where } v \notin X_{i+1}
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Observation. The number of bags is $r \leq 2|V|-1$.
Lemma. A path decomposition of width $k$ can be transformed into a nice path decomposition of width $k$ in polynomial time.
$\Rightarrow$ When designing FPT algorithms w.r.t. the pathwidth, we may assume to be given a nice path decomposition.
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G_{i}
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## Independent Set in Graphs of Bounded Pathwidth

Assume we are given a nice path decomposition $P=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ of width $k$. Let $G_{i}$ be the graph induced by $X_{1} \cup X_{2} \cup \cdots \cup X_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. For each $S \subseteq X_{i}$ let
$D[i, S]:=$ maximum weight of an independent set $I$ in $G_{i}$ such that $I \cap X_{i}=S$.

$$
D[1, S]= \begin{cases}0 & , \text { if } S=\varnothing \\ w(v) & , \text { if } S=\{v\}\end{cases}
$$
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## Independent Set in Graphs of Bounded Pathwidth

Assume we are given a nice path decomposition $P=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)$ of width $k$. Let $G_{i}$ be the graph induced by $X_{1} \cup X_{2} \cup \cdots \cup X_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. For each $S \subseteq X_{i}$ let
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## Discussion

- The fixed-parameter tractability of a problem may be studied with respect to various structural parameters.
- The assumption that the chosen parameter is small should be plausible!
$\square$ Treewidth is among the most studied parameters.
■ It is defined like pathwidth, except that the bags form a tree instead of a path.
■ Nice tree decomposition only have one additional bag type ...
- ... and can be constructed efficiently from a tree decomposition.

■ Our $\leq 2^{\mathrm{pw}(G)} \mathrm{pw}(G)^{\mathcal{O}(1)}|V|$-time algorithm for Independent Set can easily be turned into an algorithm with running time $\leq 2^{\operatorname{tw}(G)} \operatorname{tw}(G)^{\mathcal{O}(1)}|V|$.

Theorem. Independent Set is FPT with respect to the treewidth.
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