Approximation Algorithms Lecture 5:
LP-based Approximation Algorithms for SetCover

Part I:<br>SETCover as an ILP
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Consider a minimization problem $\Pi$ in ILP form.
Compute a solution for the LP-relaxation.
Round to obtain an integer solution for $\Pi$.
Difficulty: Ensure the feasiblity of the solution.
Approximation factor: $\mathrm{ALG} / \mathrm{OPT}_{\Pi} \leq \mathrm{ALG} / \mathrm{OPT}_{\text {relax }}$.
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## LP-Rounding: Approach II
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## LP-Rounding: Approach II

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { minimize } & \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} c_{S} x_{S} \\
\text { subject to } & \sum_{S \ni u} x_{S} \geq 1 \quad u \in U \\
& x_{S} \geq 0 \quad S \in \mathcal{S}
\end{array}
$$

LP-Rounding-Two $(U, \mathcal{S}, c)$
Compute optimal solution $x$ for LP-Relaxation. Round each $x_{S}$ with $x_{S} \geq 1 /$ to 1 ; remaining to 0 .
Let $f$ be the frequency of (i.e., the number of sets containing) the most frequent element.
Theorem. LP-Rounding-Two is a factor- approximation algorithm for SetCover.
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Consider a minimization problem $\Pi$ in ILP form.
Start with (trivial) feasible dual solution and infeasible primal solution (e.g., all variables $=0$ ).
Compute dual solution $s_{\mathrm{d}}$ and integral primal solution $s_{\Pi}$ for $\Pi$ iteratively: increase $s_{\mathrm{d}}$ according to CS and make $s_{\Pi}$ "more feasible". Approximation factor $\leq \operatorname{obj}\left(s_{\Pi}\right) / \operatorname{obj}\left(s_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$
Advantage: don't need LP-"machinery"; possibly faster, more flexible.
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## SetCover - Dual LP

minimize $\sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}} c_{S} x_{S}$
subject to $\quad \sum_{S \ni u} x_{S} \geq 1 \quad u \in U$

$$
x_{S} \geq 0 \quad S \in \mathcal{S}
$$

maximize $\sum_{u \in U} y_{u}$
subject to $\quad \sum_{u \in S} y_{u} \leq c_{S} \quad S \in \mathcal{S}$
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y_{u} \geq 0 \quad u \in U
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## Complementary Slackness

| $\operatorname{minimize}$ | $c^{\top} x$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| subject to | $A x$ | $\geq b$ |
|  | $x$ | $\geq 0$ |


| maximize | $b^{\top} y$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| subject to | $A^{\top} y$ | $\leq c$ |
|  | $y$ | $\geq 0$ |

Theorem. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ be valid solutions for the primal and dual program (resp.). Then $x$ and $y$ are optimal if and only if the following conditions are met:
Primal CS:
For each $j=1, \ldots, n$ : $\quad x_{j}=0$ or $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j} y_{i}=c_{j}$
Dual CS:
For each $i=1, \ldots, m$ : $\quad y_{i}=0$ or $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}=b_{i}$
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| ---: | ---: | :--- |
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|  | $x$ | $\geq 0$ |
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| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
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|  | $x$ | $\geq 0$ |


| maximize | $b^{\top} y$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| subject to | $A^{\top} y$ | $\leq c$ |  |
|  | $y$ | $\geq$ | 0 |

## Primat CS: Relaxed Primal CS

For each $j=1, \ldots, n$ : $x_{j}=0$ or $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j} y_{i}=c_{j}$

$$
c_{j} / \alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j} y_{i} \leq c_{j}
$$

Dual ES: Relaxed Dual CS
For each $i=1, \ldots, m: \quad y_{i}=0$ or $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j}=b_{i}$

$$
b_{i} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j} \leq \beta \cdot b_{i}
$$

$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} y_{i}$

## Relaxing Complementary Slackness

| $\operatorname{minimize}$ | $c^{\top} x$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| subject to | $A x$ | $\geq b$ |
|  | $x$ | $\geq 0$ |


| maximize | $b^{\top} y$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| subject to | $A^{\top} y$ | $\leq c$ |  |
|  | $y$ | $\geq 0$ |  |

Relaxed Primal CS
For each $j=1, \ldots, n$ : $x_{j}=0$ or $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j} y_{i}=c_{j}$

$$
c_{j} / \alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i j} y_{i} \leq c_{j}
$$

Dual ES: Relaxed Dual CS
For each $i=1, \ldots, m: \quad y_{i}=0$ or $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j x_{j}}=b_{i}$

$$
b_{i} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{j} \leq \beta \cdot b_{i}
$$

$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} y_{i}$
$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} \leq \alpha \beta \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} y_{i} \leq \alpha \beta \cdot \mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{LP}}$
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## Primal-Dual Schema

Start with a feasible dual and infeasible primal solution (often trivial).
"Improve" the feasibility of the primal solution...
... and simultaneously the obj. value of the dual solution.
Do so until the relaxed CS conditions are met.
Maintain that the primal solution is integer valued.
The feasibility of the primal solution and relaxed CS condition provide an approximation ratio.
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(Unrelaxed) primal CS: $x_{S} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{u \in S} y_{u}=c_{S}$
$\rightarrow$ only chooses critical sets
trivial for binary $x$
Relaxed dual CS: $y_{u} \neq 0 \Rightarrow 1 \leq \sum_{S \ni u} x_{S} \leq f \cdot 1$
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$x \leftarrow 0, y \leftarrow 0$
repeat
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Theorem. PrimalDualSetCover is a factor- approximation algorithm for SetCover. This bound is tight.
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Consider a minimization problem $\Pi$ in ILP form.
Dual methods (without outside help) are limited by the integrality gap of the LP-relaxation
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$\Rightarrow$ Scaling factor $\alpha$ is approximation factor.

## Dual Fitting for SetCover
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$\square$
Strengthened bound with respect to $\mathrm{OPT}_{\text {relax }} \leq \mathrm{OPT}$.
Dual solution allows a per-instance estimation
... which may be stronger than worst-case bound $\mathcal{H}_{k}$.

